

16.4 DRAFT EIR ERRATA

This section presents an errata to the Draft EIR, based on comments received and staff initiated text changes. These changes are organized according to the corresponding sections found in the Draft EIR. Changes in text are shown as ~~strikeout~~ where the text is deleted and by bold, underlined font (**underlined bold font**) where new text is added. None of the identified changes lead to a change in the conclusions contained in the DEIR.

This section first details changes made to each of the key sections of the DEIR. Table 6.4-1 at the end of this section contains a summary of all changes made to the mitigation measures for the project and/or for the Modified Redesign Alternative.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

No changes.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

No changes.

2.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The following clarification language from the DEIR, Section 2.0, *Project Description*, is provided to address concerns raised by DDD-12 comment:

Ramirez Canyon Road and Delaplane Road Widening

"The proposed Plan includes **a preliminary design** for emergency ingress/egress road improvements for the Ramirez Canyon community, **with the actual improvements being implemented consistent with Los Angeles County Fire Department's (LACFD) final design and timing requirements.** These **preliminary design** improvements include widening of the existing access road and removal of encroachments in the road easements, as necessary, to provide 20-ft clearance for emergency ingress/egress in the canyon along Delaplane Road and Ramirez Canyon Road, per **the initial** recommendations of the Los Angeles County Fire Department, as illustrated on project plans prepared by Penfield & Smith (see *Figure 2-11*). These improvements **(or other similar alternative measures required by the LACFD consistent with Fire Code allowances)** ~~will~~ **would** enhance overall vehicular access

along Ramirez Canyon Road and ~~will~~ **would** provide for improved emergency access to and from the Ramirez Canyon corridor.”

Via Acero Secondary Emergency Access Improvements

“Pursuant to the initial recommendations of the Los Angeles County Fire Department, the Plan also includes a preliminary design for improvements to Via Acero to provide secondary emergency vehicular ingress/egress for Ramirez Canyon, as identified on the Penfield & Smith Modified project plans. The secondary emergency access improvements include extending the paved portion of Via Acero ~~within~~ **generally along the path of** an existing dirt road for approximately 1,400-ft to intersect with Kanan Dume, and widening of Via Acero to 20-ft over its entire length between Kanan Dume and Ramirez Canyon Road (approximately 2,938 ft).

Improvements to Via Acero (or other similar alternative measures required by the LACFD consistent with Fire Code allowances) shall be implemented consistent with Los Angeles County Fire Department’s (LACFD) final design and timing requirements.”

Page 2-4, Table 2-1, has been revised as follows:

<p>Zoning:</p>	<p>City of Malibu: POS (Public Open Space); RR-2 (Rural Residential, <u>1 du/2 acres</u>) 2 du/acre, RR-5 (<u>1 du/5 acres</u>), RR-10 (101 du/10 acres), RR-20 (<u>201 du/20 acres</u>), RR-40 (<u>401 du/40 acres</u>); CV-1 (Commercial Visitor Serving 1)</p> <p>Los Angeles County: R-A (Residential Agriculture), A-1 (Light Agriculture), A-2 (Heavy Agriculture)</p>
<p>Land Use Plan Designation:</p>	<p>City of Malibu: POS (Public Open Space); RR-2 (Rural Residential, <u>1 du/2 acres</u>) 2 du/acre, RR-5 (<u>1 du/5 acres</u>), RR-10 (101 du/10 acres), RR-20 (<u>201 du/20 acres</u>), RR-40 (<u>401 du/40 acres</u>); CV-1 (Commercial Visitor Serving 1)</p> <p>Los Angeles County: 18 (Parks), M2 (Mountain Land) 1 du/20ac, 3 (Rural Land, 1 du/10ac), 5 (Rural Land III, 1 du/2ac)</p>

3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

Table 3.1 Surface Rate of Spread Analysis Using Fall Weather Conditions and Maximum Wind Speeds (69 mph)

Park Name	Scenario	Rate of Spread (ft./min.)
Corral Canyon Park	1	385
Corral Canyon Park	2	382
Corral Canyon Park	3	658
Escondido Canyon Park	1	385
Escondido Canyon Park	2	660
Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy-Owned Malibu Bluffs	1	732
Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy-Owned Malibu Bluffs	2	382
Ramirez Canyon Park	1	380
Ramirez Canyon Park	2	480

4.0 CONSISTENCY WITH PLANS AND POLICIES

No changes.

5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

5.1 Aesthetics/Visual Resources

No changes.

5.4 Biological Resources

DEIR Tables 5.4-8, 5.4-9, and 5.4-12 have been revised to correct the identified minor discrepancies in information provided relative to impacts to native trees and/or to provide comparative tree information between the Proposed Plan and the identified alternatives.

Page 5.4-81, Table 5.4-8 has been revised as follows:

**Table 5.4-8
Native Tree Impact Summary – Direct Impacts**

Project Area	Native Tree Species					
	Coast live oak (<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>)	California walnut (<i>Juglans californica</i>)	California sycamore (<i>Platanus racemosa</i>)	Alder (<i>Alnus rhombifolia</i>)	Toyon (<i>Heteromeles arbutifolia</i>)	TOTAL
Ramirez Canyon Park						
Park and Recreation Support Facilities Impacts	<u>17</u> 16	4	23	<u>6</u> 4	0	<u>50</u>
Creek Restoration Impacts	11	2	11	2	0	<u>26</u>
Escondido Canyon Park						
Park and Recreation Support Facilities Impacts	5	1	1	0	2	<u>9</u>
Latigo Trailhead						
Park and Recreation Support Facilities Impacts	13	0	2	0	0	<u>15</u>
Corral Canyon Park						
Park and Recreation Support Facilities Impacts	0	0	11	0	0	<u>11</u>
Conservancy-Owned Malibu Bluffs						
Park and Recreation Support Facilities Impacts	0	0	0	0	0	<u>0</u>
Via Acero Improvements						
Facilities Impacts	1	0	0	1	0	<u>2</u>
Ramirez Canyon Road Improvements						
Facilities Impacts	<u>21</u> 13	2	11	0	0	<u>34</u>
Trail Segments						
1a – Kanan Dume to Ramirez Cyn. Park	0	0	<u>0</u> +	0	0	<u>0</u>
1b - Kanan Dume to Ramirez Cyn. Park	0	0	0	0	0	<u>0</u>
2a3 - Ramirez Cyn. Park to Murphy Way	1	2	0	0	0	<u>3</u>
4 - Escondido Cyn. Park to Solstice Cyn. Park	28	0	0	0	0	<u>28</u>
4d - Escondido Cyn. Park to	<u>2</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>2</u>

Project Area	Native Tree Species					
	Coast live oak (<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>)	California walnut (<i>Juglans californica</i>)	California sycamore (<i>Platanus racemosa</i>)	Alder (<i>Alnus rhombifolia</i>)	Toyon (<i>Heteromeles arbutifolia</i>)	TOTAL
Solstice Cyn. Park						
11a - Corral Cyn. Park (Beach - Backbone Trail)	2	0	0	0	0	<u>2</u>
14 - Corral Cyn. Park (Beach - Backbone Trail)	7	0	0	0	0	<u>7</u>
TOTAL	<u>108</u>	11	<u>59</u>	<u>9</u>	2	<u>189</u>

Page 5.4-85, Table 5.4-9 has been revised as follows:

**Table 5.4-9
Proposed Project Indirect Native Tree Impact Summary**

Project Area	Native Tree Species					
	Coast live oak (<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>)	California walnut (<i>Juglans californica</i>)	California sycamore (<i>Platanus racemosa</i>)	Alder (<i>Alnus rhombifolia</i>)	Toyon (<i>Heteromeles arbutifolia</i>)	TOTAL
Ramirez Canyon Park						
Park and Recreation Support Facilities Impacts	<u>48</u>	7	62	<u>11</u>	0	<u>128</u>
Creek Restoration Impacts	0	0	0	0	0	<u>0</u>
Escondido Canyon Park						<u>0</u>
Park and Recreation Support Facilities Impacts	3	1	2	0	0	<u>6</u>
Latigo Trailhead						<u>0</u>
Park and Recreation Support Facilities Impacts	2	0	8	0	0	<u>10</u>
Corral Canyon Park						<u>0</u>
Park and Recreation Support Facilities Impacts	0	0	0	2	0	<u>2</u>
Conservancy-Owned Malibu Bluffs						<u>0</u>
Park and Recreation Support Facilities Impacts	0	0	0	0	0	<u>0</u>
Via Acero Improvements						<u>0</u>
Facilities Impacts	2	0	0	1	0	<u>3</u>
Ramirez Canyon Road						<u>0</u>

Project Area	Native Tree Species					TOTAL
	Coast live oak (<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>)	California walnut (<i>Juglans californica</i>)	California sycamore (<i>Platanus racemosa</i>)	Alder (<i>Alnus rhombifolia</i>)	Toyon (<i>Heteromeles arbutifolia</i>)	
Improvements						
Facilities Impacts	1	0	2	0	0	<u>3</u>
Trail Segments						<u>0</u>
1a – Kanan Dume to Ramirez Cyn. Park	1	0	0	0	0	<u>1</u>
2a3 - Ramirez Cyn. Park to Murphy Way	0	0	0	0	0	<u>0</u>
4 - Escondido Cyn. Park to Solstice Cyn. Park	10	0	0	0	0	<u>10</u>
11a - Corral Cyn. Park (Beach - Backbone Trail)	6	0	0	0	0	<u>6</u>
14 - Corral Cyn. Park (Beach - Backbone Trail)	10	0	1	0	0	<u>11</u>
TOTAL	<u>83</u> 86	8	75	<u>14</u> 16	0	<u>180</u>

Page 5.4-87, Table 5.4-12 has been revised as follows:

Table 5.4-12
Ramirez Canyon Park Summary of Impacts to Native Trees

Project Area	Native Tree Species				TOTAL
	Coast live oak (<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>)	California walnut (<i>Juglans californica</i>)	California sycamore (<i>Platanus racemosa</i>)	Alder (<i>Alnus rhombifolia</i>)	
Ramirez Canyon Park					
Park and Recreation Support Facilities Impacts	<u>17</u> 16	4	23	<u>6</u> 4	<u>50</u>
Creek Restoration Impacts	11	2	11	2	<u>26</u>
Road Improvements - Facilities Impacts	<u>21</u> 23	2	11	0	<u>34</u>
Ramirez Cyn. Park to Murphy Way	1	2	0	0	<u>3</u>
TOTAL	<u>50</u> 51	10	45	<u>8</u> 6	<u>113</u>

5.5 Cultural Resources

No changes.

5.6 Fire Hazards

Table 5.6-1
Fire History¹ in Plan Vicinity

Date	Name	Location	Acres
October 26, 1929	n/a	Malibu Colony	n/a
1930	Potrero	Decker Canyon	15,000
October 23, 1935	Malibu or Latigo/Sherwood	Kanan/Decker Corridor	30,000
November 23, 1938	Topanga	Topanga Canyon	14,500
October 20, 1943	Las Flores	Malibu Canyon	5,800
November 6, 1943	Woodland Hills	Kanan/Decker Corridor	15,000
December 26, 1956	Newton	Kanan/Decker Corridor	26,000
December 2, 1958	Liberty	Malibu Canyon	18,000
November 6, 1961	Topanga	Topanga Canyon	8,000
September 25, 1970	Wright	Malibu Canyon	28,000
October 30, 1973	Topanga	Topanga Canyon	2,800
October 23, 1978	Kanan	Kanan/Decker Corridor	25,000
October 9, 1982	Dayton	Malibu Canyon	44,000
October 14, 1985	Pioma	Las Flores/Topanga Canyon	4,700
October 14, 1985	Decker	Kanan/Decker Corridor	6,600
November 2, 1993	Old Topanga	Topanga Canyon	16,800
October 28, 1996	Calabasas	Malibu Canyon	13,000
January 6, 2003	Pacific	PCH/Broad Beach	759
September 28, 2005	Topanga	North of US 101	23,396
February 8, 2006	Latigo	Corral Canyon	37
January 8, 2007	Malibu Road	Malibu Bluffs State Park	20
October 21, 2007	Canyon	Malibu Canyon	3,782
November 24, 2007	Corral	Corral Canyon	4,707

¹ **Not intended to be a comprehensive list.**

Source: LACFD; <http://www.malibutimes.com/articles/2007/11/27/news/news2.txt>; and <http://www.malibucomplete.com>

5.7 Geology, Soils, & Seismic Hazards

Page 5.7-4, fourth paragraph (Malibu Coast Fault Zone) has been clarified as follows:

“Parts of the Malibu Coast fault are classified as active and are included within **an** Alquist-Priolo Special Studies Zone by the State of California. ~~These~~ This zone occurs ~~on Winter Mesa just west of the Civic Center and~~ just west of Corral Canyon Park, beginning near the intersection of Pacific Coast Highway and Corral Canyon Road. This zone extends westward to the northern half of Escondido Canyon Park (Southwestern Engineering Geology, 2009).”

5.8 Global Climate Change

Table 5.8-7

Campgrounds Near the Los Angeles Area

Park	Distance from Los Angeles, CA* (miles)	Estimated Travel Time	Ocean Proximity/ Blue Water Views	Handicap Accessible
Campo Alto, Maricopa, CA	98	2 hr		
Chuchupate, Maricopa, CA	80	1 hr 28 min		
Chula Vista, Frazier Park, CA	90	1 hr 51min		
Half Moon, Maricopa, CA	97	2 hr 8 min		
McGill, Frazier Park, CA	87	1 hr 42 min		✓
Mt. Pinos, Maricopa, CA	88	1 hr 45 min		
Ozena, Ojai, CA	102	2 hr 6 min		
Pine Springs, Ojai, CA	90	1 hr 45 min		
Wheeler Gorge, Ojai, CA	90	1 hr 34 min		✓
Reyes Peak**, Ojai, CA	115	2 hr 40 min		
Leo Carillo State Park, CA	44	59 min	✓	✓
Point Mugu State Park, Malibu, CA	52	1 hr 19 min	✓	✓
Emma Wood State Beach,	69	1 hr 9 min	✓	

**Table 5.8-7
Campgrounds Near the Los Angeles Area**

Park	Distance from Los Angeles, CA* (miles)	Estimated Travel Time	Ocean Proximity/ Blue Water Views	Handicap Accessible
Ventura, CA				
Topanga State Park, Topanga, CA	28	36 min		
Castaic Lake State Recreation Area, CA	47	52 min		
Placerita Canyon State Park, Newhall, CA	33	35 min		
McGrath State Beach, Oxnard, CA	66	1 hr 9 min	✓	✓
Decker Canyon, Lake Elsinore, CA	75	1 hr 24 min		
<u>Malibu Creek State Park, CA</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>50 min</u>		✓
AVERAGE	<u>7473</u>	<u>1 hr 2328 min</u>		

*Distance measured from Los Angeles City Hall at 200 North Spring Street, Los Angeles 90012

**Reyes Peak campground located in Ojai, California provides partial ocean views from the ridgeline of Pine Mountain. As this location is located over 40 miles inland, it is not considered to provide the coastal experience similar to other parks listed.

Notes: hr = hour; min = minute

5.9 Hazardous Materials

No changes.

5.10 Hydrology, Drainage, and Water Quality

Page 5.10-27, top of page (Federal Regulations, Regulatory Setting) has been clarified as follows:

“Section 303 / 305 Impaired Waters (Porter-Cologne)

California adopts water quality standards to protect beneficial uses of state waters as required by CWA Sections 303 **and 305** and the Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act of 1969 (Porter-Cologne). **The CWA requires states, territories, and authorized tribes to conduct an assessment of the quality of all their waters [Section 305(b)] and develop a list of those waters that are “impaired or threatened” [Section 303(d)]** Under Section 303(d) of the CWA, states, territories, and authorized tribes are required to develop a list of water quality-limited segments. In California, the State Water Board develops the list of water quality-limited segments, while the EPA **holds approval authority** for each state’s list.

Water **bodies** on the **impaired** list do not meet water quality standards, even after point sources of pollution have installed the minimum required levels of pollution control technology. Section 303(d) also establishes the **Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) process as a means of achieving compliance with** to guide the application of state water quality standards **for impaired water bodies**. The TMDL process established to address these impairments is further described in below. **For each impaired water body, a TMDL is identified for constituents (pollutants) that interfere with the identified beneficial uses of such water (i.e., recreation, drinking water, biological habitat, etc.), with the aim that enforcement of the TMDL will eventually improve water quality consistent with adopted standards and/or identified beneficial uses. Municipalities and landowners within the watersheds of impaired water bodies must implement storm water quality maintenance and monitoring plans to ensure compliance with the TMDL.**

The Plan Area as well as all of the component parks and trail system are in the geographic jurisdiction of the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region. The Los Angeles RWQCB most recently prepared a report that integrates the two CWA assessment efforts into one: 2008 Los Angeles Region 303(d)/305(b) Integrated Report (adopted July 2009). According to the 2008 303(d)/305(b) Integrated Report, Malibu Creek and Santa Monica Bay are listed as impaired water bodies. Malibu Creek has TMDL identified for benthic-macro-invertebrate, coliform bacteria, fish barriers, invasive species, nutrients, scum, siltation, selenium, sulfates, and trash. Santa Monica Bay has a TMDL identified for debris, DDT, PCB, and sediment toxicity.

The Plan Area, including all of the proposed park and trail sites, is located outside of the watershed for Malibu Creek. None of the drainages within

the Plan Area, or watersheds serving the Plan Area, are listed as impaired water bodies in the 2008 303(d)/305(b) Integrated Report, Nor do the watersheds encompassing the Plan Area drain to Santa Monica Bay. Consequently, there is not a current mandate for adoption of a stormwater quality maintenance or monitoring program with regard to any adopted TMDL's under the CWA, as identified in the 2008 303(d)/305(b) Integrated Report.

Page 5.10-47, third paragraph (Impact HYD-8) has been clarified as follows:

“In recognition of the importance of carefully controlling trash (as exemplified by the adopted TMDL governing trash and debris in Malibu Creek and Santa Monica Bay which are adjacent to the Plan Area), To prevent prevention of transport of trash transport off-site would be accomplished via, MRCA would provide trash collection by MRCA at each park location on a weekly basis, and further, on as-needed basis during times of heavier park use. Trash and recycling would be collected by MRCA staff, utilizing pick-up trucks and/or small Cushman-style utility vehicles.”

Page 5.10-48, first paragraph (Impact HYD-8) has been clarified as follows:

“Pet and horse excrement could impact Plan streams without appropriate mitigation. While none of the water bodies within the Plan Area are currently listed as impaired in the Los Angeles RWQCB 2008 303(d)/305(b) Integrated Report, bacteria is identified as a contaminant in Malibu Creek and on Santa Monica Beach. Therefore, sources which could contribute to elevated bacterial levels in local streams are recognized as an issue. The potential for elevated bacterial levels in Plan stream resulting from pet and horse wastes Associated impacts would be potentially significant.”

5.12 Noise

No changes.

5.13 Public Services

The following clarification is provided per DDD-1 – 4, Paragraph 1:

“The ~~County of Los Angeles~~ **County** Fire Department (LACFD) is responsible for fire prevention/suppression and emergency services within the ~~unincorporated County of Los Angeles and, by contract, within the City of Malibu~~ **and the surrounding unincorporated areas of the Los Angeles County.**”

“LACFD operates ~~21~~ **22** fire battalions, comprised of a total of ~~171~~ **170** fire stations and 10 fire suppression camps comprised of 4 paid and 6 correctional operated camps.”

“The Plan area lies within the jurisdiction of LACFD Battalion 5, which consists of ~~13~~ **12** fire stations, providing primary fire, emergency medical, and rescue services to the Plan area and surrounding communities.”

“LACFD provides direct fire protection services to the City of Malibu through 7 stations (Stations 67, 69, 70, 71, 72, 88, and 99), of which ~~five~~ **4** stations are located within the City of Malibu.”

Consistent with the direction of the LACFD in DDD-5, in Table 5.13.1:

“Consistent with the direction of the LACFD, the following clarification is provided: on duty staffing of Fire Station 69 includes a “4-person **assessment** engine company,” which was previously identified as a “4-person engine company” within the DEIR.”

Table 5.13-1

LACFD Fire Station Staffing Levels

Fire Station	Address	On Duty Staffing
Station 67	25801 Piuma Road, Calabasas, 91302	3-person engine company
Station 69	401 South Topanga Canyon Blvd., Topanga 90290	4-person assessment engine company
Station 70	3970 Carbon Canyon Road, Malibu, 90265	4-person engine company
Station 71	28722 W. Pacific Coast Highway, Malibu 90265	3-person engine company / 2-person paramedic squad

Table 5.13-1
LACFD Fire Station Staffing Levels

Fire Station	Address	On Duty Staffing
Station 72	1832 S. Decker Canyon Road, Malibu 90265	3-person engine company
Station 88	23720 West Malibu Road, Malibu, 90265	3-person engine company / 2-person paramedic squad
Station 99	32550 Pacific Coast Highway, Malibu, 90265	3-person engine company

Sources: Letter from Frank Vidales, LACFD, Acting Chief Forestry Division, November 12, 2008 and E-mail correspondence with Loretta Bagwell, Planning Analyst, LACFD, December 2, 2009.

Consistent with the direction of the LACFD in DDD-6, in Impact PS-1:

"According to Kien Chin of the LACFD Planning Division, ~~which has primary responsibility for fire protection in the Plan site area,~~ current staff levels and facilities at stations within Battalion 5 are sufficient to support the incremental increase in recreational demands associated with the proposed Plan (Chin, 2009)."

Consistent with the direction of the LACFD in DDD-7 in 5.13.3:

"Area of Influence: As discussed above, the Plan site lies within the jurisdiction of Los Angeles County Fire Department Battalion 5, which consists of ~~13~~ **12** stations, providing primary fire, emergency medical, and rescue services to the Plan area and surrounding communities. Therefore, the Area of Influence for considering cumulative impacts on fire protection services is the service area of Battalion 5 within the City of Malibu and unincorporated Las Virgenes area of Los Angeles County."

Consistent with the direction of the LACFD in DDD-8 and 9, in Impact PS-5:

"However, this cumulative demand would be addressed through existing funding mechanisms such as property taxes, ~~government funding~~ **special tax revenues**, and development impact fees to provide for new staffing and facilities to meet increased demand."

"Cumulative development within the Area of Influence includes the development of 38 single-family dwellings, 2 condominiums, 216,793 square feet of commercial development, and 146 hotel rooms, along with development of parks, trails, and

open space at Trancas Canyon Park, Legacy Park, Lechuza Beach, and King Gillette Ranch (see Section 3.0, *Environmental Setting* for additional cumulative project information). A cumulative increase in demand for fire protection may occur due to implementation of the proposed Plan's improvements and other development projects within Malibu and Los Angeles County that would be served by LACFD. However, this cumulative demand would be addressed through existing funding mechanisms such as property taxes, ~~government funding~~ **special tax revenues**, and development impact fees to provide for new staffing and facilities to meet increased demand. ~~As long as the City of Malibu and the County of Los Angeles continue to allocate appropriate funds to the County Fire Department, cumulative demands for fire protection services would be met.~~ As discussed above, the proposed Plan's impacts on fire protection services would be less than significant, particularly when the Plan's implementation measures and MRCA patrols and services are considered. For these reasons, when considered with cumulative growth and development, the proposed Plan's incremental contribution to the cumulative demand for fire protection services would be *less than significant*."

5.14 Recreation

Section 5.14, *Recreation*, is clarified as follows:

"The property lies immediately adjacent to and west of the City of Malibu's Malibu Bluffs Park site, which contains several ball fields, playground equipment, **a community center, onsite parking area, maintenance facility, restroom facility**, and grassy picnic areas.

The park is accessible from Pacific Coast Highway where an existing parking lot supports shared access to the open space area and the City of Malibu's adjacent six ~~10-~~ acre Malibu Bluffs Park."

"The City of Malibu's **Trancas Canyon Park**, ~~is currently in the planning and design process for a~~ planned 13-acre community park, ~~called Trancas Canyon Park~~ **is currently under construction**. In addition, the City of Malibu **is currently in** ~~has~~ entitled and plans to **the construction phase for** the 17-acre Malibu Legacy Park Project, **which is** bordered by Pacific Coast Highway on the south, Cross Creek Road on the east, Webb Way on the west, and Civic Center Way on the north."

Table 5.14-1

City of Malibu Park and Recreation Facilities

Name	Type of Facility	Activities
Malibu Bluffs Park	610-acre Community Park (Leased)	2 baseball diamonds, soccer/multi-use field, jogging course, picnic tables, whale watching stand, community center, 4 playgrounds
Las Flores Creek Park	4.4-acre Neighborhood Park	Play and picnic areas
Charmlee Wilderness Park	532-acre Open Space area	8 miles of hiking trails, native plant display, nature center
Trancas Canyon Park	13-acre Community Park (Proposed Under construction)	Multi-use area for sports, children's play area, dog park, picnic area, walking paths
Malibu Community Pool	Swimming Pool (Leased Joint agreement with the SMMUSD)	Non-school hour recreation programs and activities
Malibu Equestrian Center Park	10.13 acre Horse Riding Facility (Leased Joint agreement with the SMMUSD)	Two riding rings, a judges stand, tie bars, picnic tables
Papa Jack's Skate Park	10,000 square foot skate facility (Leased Agreement with private property owner)	Skate park with ramps and obstacles
Malibu Senior Center	Located at Malibu City Hall	Programs include yoga, day excursions, reading, computer lab

Table 5.14-3 has been revised as follows:

Table 5.14-3 Joint Use School Facilities

Name	Type of Facility	Activities ^a
John L. Webster Elementary	School	Facility rental (after school hours) <u>Multi-use sports fields</u>
Juan Cabrillo Elementary	School	Facility rental (after school hours) <u>Multi-use sports fields</u>
Point Dume Marine Science School	School	Ball fields, basketball, children's play area, picnic area, restrooms, and walking/hiking path (after school hours)
Malibu High School	School	Ball fields, basketball, facility rental, restrooms, soccer fields, swimming pool, tennis courts, and walking/hiking path (after school hours)

^a During non-school hours

Source: <http://www.ci.malibu.ca.us/>; Vic Peterson, City of Malibu, 2010.

5.15 Transportation and Parking

No changes.

5.16 Utilities and Service Systems

The narrative in Section 5.16.1 is clarified with the following:

"In general, the City of Malibu utilizes the existing natural drainage systems to carry storm flows to the ocean. **Within private property, natural drainage courses are often supplemented or replaced with private storm drainage systems.** At locations where these natural systems cross the State Highway (Pacific Coast Highway) or a local street, the drainage is collected and channeled into a culvert under the roads. According to the City of Malibu Public Works Department, the drainage along PCH is conveyed via City, County, and/or Caltrans owned drainage systems (Kiepk, 2008), **where necessary in addition to natural drainage systems.**"

6.0 OTHER CEQA CONCERNS

No changes.

7.0 IMPACTS FOUND NOT SIGNIFICANT

No changes.

8.0 ALTERNATIVES

This section has been replaced in its entirety by Section 15 – Revised Chapter 8 – Alternatives (Including the MRA).

Appendices

Appendix F

Table 7 within the Malibu Parks Public Access Enhancement Plan Native Tree Protection Plan has been updated to reflect corrected clerical errors.

**Table 7
Proposed Project Direct Native Tree Impact Summary**

Project Area	Native Tree Species				
	Coast live oak (<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>)	California walnut (<i>Juglans californica</i>)	California sycamore (<i>Platanus racemosa</i>)	Alder (<i>Alnus rhombifolia</i>)	<i>Toyon</i> (<i>Heteromeles arbutifolia</i>)
Ramirez Canyon Park					
Park and Recreation Support Facilities Impacts	16 17	4	23	4 6	0
Creek Restoration Impacts	11	2	11	2	0
Escondido Canyon Park					
Park and Recreation Support Facilities Impacts	5	1	1	0	2
Latigo Trailhead					
Park and Recreation Support Facilities Impacts	13	0	2	0	0
Corral Canyon Park					
Park and Recreation Support Facilities Impacts	0	0	11	0	0
Conservancy-Owned Malibu Bluffs					
Park and Recreation Support Facilities Impacts	0	0	0	0	0
Via Acero Improvements					
Facilities Impacts	1	0	0	1	0

Table 7
Proposed Project Direct Native Tree Impact Summary

Project Area	Native Tree Species				
	Coast live oak (<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>)	California walnut (<i>Juglans californica</i>)	California sycamore (<i>Platanus racemosa</i>)	Alder (<i>Alnus rhombifolia</i>)	<i>Toyon</i> (<i>Heteromeles arbutifolia</i>)
Ramirez Canyon Road Improvements					
Facilities Impacts	21	2	11	0	0
Trail Segments					
1a – Kanan Dume to Ramirez Cyn. Park	0	0	0	0	0
2a3 - Ramirez Cyn. Park to Murphy Way	1	2	0	0	0
4 - Escondido Cyn. Park to Solstice Cyn. Park	28	0	0	0	0
4d - Escondido Cyn. Park to Solstice Cyn. Park	2	0	0	0	0
11a - Corral Cyn. Park (Beach - Backbone Trail)	2	0	0	0	0
14 - Corral Cyn. Park (Beach - Backbone Trail)	7	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	105 108	11	59	7 9	2

Appendix L

The 50-year burned and bulk inundation map for Ramirez Canyon was inadvertently omitted from the Hydrology Study located within DEIR Appendix L, although was available upon request by DEIR reviewers. Please refer to *Appendix MRA-13*, where the missing Figure BB-1 addressing the 50-year burned and bulked inundation limits of Ramirez Canyon has been included.

**TABLE 6.4-1
 MITIGATION MEASURE ERRATA**

CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THE FOLLOWING MITIGATION MEASURES :

1. In response to comments - These changes have been made to both the mitigations for the Proposed Plan and the MRA
2. In response to the MRA – Staff initiated text changes have been made to some of the mitigation measures to address differences in the components of the Proposed Plan and the MRA. These changes apply only to the MRA
3. Staff initiated changes for purposes of clarification.

PROPOSED PLAN MITIGATION MEASURES	MODIFIED REDESIGN ALTERNATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES
AESTHETICS/VISUAL RESOURCES	
<p>MM VIS-1.1 Restroom facilities, water tanks, emergency fire shelters, and fire truck storage sheds shall be designed with colors that are compatible with the surrounding landscape and native, drought tolerant landscape screening shall be used to minimize visibility of the structures.</p>	<p>MM VIS-1.1 Restroom facilities, water tanks, <u>optional</u> emergency fire shelters, <u>storage sheds</u>, and fire truck storage sheds shall be designed with colors that are compatible with the surrounding landscape and native, drought tolerant landscape screening shall be used to minimize visibility of the structures.</p>
<p>MM VIS-1.2 To reduce potential impacts on blue-water ocean views from Pacific Coast Highway, <u>Malibu Bluffs</u> Parking Area 3 shall be constructed a minimum 3-feet below road grade of Pacific Coast Highway which would have the effect of “lowering” the height of the emergency fire shelter, water tank, and restroom structures. This shall occur within the same footprint of the proposed <u>Malibu Bluffs</u> Parking Area 3. In addition, the proposed restroom, water tank and emergency fire shelter shall be relocated within the <u>Malibu Bluffs</u> Parking Area 3 existing footprint to minimize impacts on blue-water ocean views and visibility from Pacific Coast Highway.</p>	<p>MM VIS-1.2 To reduce potential impacts on blue-water ocean views from Pacific Coast Highway, <u>Malibu Bluffs</u> Parking Area 3 shall be constructed a minimum 3-feet below road grade of Pacific Coast Highway which would have the effect of “lowering” the height of the emergency fire shelter, water tank and restroom structures. This shall occur within the same footprint of the proposed <u>Malibu Bluffs</u> Parking Area 3. In addition, the proposed restroom, water tank and emergency fire shelter shall be relocated within the <u>Malibu Bluffs</u> Parking Area 3 existing footprint to minimize impacts on blue-water ocean views and visibility from Pacific Coast Highway.</p>

**TABLE 6.4-1
MITIGATION MEASURE ERRATA**

CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THE FOLLOWING MITIGATION MEASURES :

1. In response to comments - These changes have been made to both the mitigations for the Proposed Plan and the MRA
2. In response to the MRA – Staff initiated text changes have been made to some of the mitigation measures to address differences in the components of the Proposed Plan and the MRA. These changes apply only to the MRA
3. Staff initiated changes for purposes of clarification.

PROPOSED PLAN MITIGATION MEASURES	MODIFIED REDESIGN ALTERNATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES
BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES	
<p>MM BIO-I.1 Mitigation for impacts to sensitive vegetation communities shall occur in accordance with the ratios and guidelines described in the County's LUP and the City's LCP, where appropriate. Approximately 57.03 acres of mitigation will be provided to compensate for 19.01 acres of direct impacts to sensitive vegetation communities, including sage scrub and chaparral communities, native grassland habitat, and riparian and bottomland habitats.</p>	<p>MM BIO-I.1 Mitigation for impacts to sensitive vegetation communities shall occur in accordance with the ratios and guidelines described in the County's LUP and the City's LCP, where appropriate. Approximately 57.03 acres of mitigation will be provided, to compensate for 19.01 acres of direct impacts to sensitive vegetation communities, including sage scrub and chaparral communities, native grassland habitat, and riparian and bottomland habitats.</p>
<p>MM BIO-I.6 The habitat restoration plan should shall include, at minimum, the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) the location of the mitigation site(s); (b) the plant species to be used, container sizes, and seeding rates; (c) the plant materials' sources and lead time; (d) a schematic depicting the mitigation areas; 	<p>MM BIO-I.6 The habitat restoration plan should shall include, at minimum, the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) the location of the mitigation site(s); (b) the plant species to be used, container sizes, and seeding rates; (c) the plant materials' sources and lead time; (d) a schematic depicting the mitigation areas;

**TABLE 6.4-1
MITIGATION MEASURE ERRATA**

CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THE FOLLOWING MITIGATION MEASURES :

1. In response to comments - These changes have been made to both the mitigations for the Proposed Plan and the MRA
2. In response to the MRA – Staff initiated text changes have been made to some of the mitigation measures to address differences in the components of the Proposed Plan and the MRA. These changes apply only to the MRA
3. Staff initiated changes for purposes of clarification.

PROPOSED PLAN MITIGATION MEASURES	MODIFIED REDESIGN ALTERNATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES
<p>(e) a planting schedule;</p> <p>(f) a description of installation requirements, irrigation sources and methodology, erosion control, and maintenance and monitoring requirements;</p> <p>(g) a description of the goals of the restoration program</p> <p>(h) a weed eradication plan (i.e., measures to properly control exotic vegetation on site);</p> <p>(i) site-specific success criteria;</p> <p>(j) a detailed monitoring program;</p> <p>(k) contingency measures shall the success criteria not be met;</p> <p>(l) a summary of the annual reporting requirements; and,</p> <p>(m) identification of the responsible party(ies) for meeting the success criteria and providing for conservation of the mitigation site(s) in</p>	<p>(e) a planting schedule;</p> <p>(f) a description of installation requirements, irrigation sources and methodology, erosion control, and maintenance and monitoring requirements;</p> <p>(g) a description of the goals of the restoration program</p> <p>(h) a weed eradication plan (i.e., measures to properly control exotic vegetation on site);</p> <p>(i) site-specific success criteria;</p> <p>(j) a detailed monitoring program;</p> <p>(k) contingency measures shall the success criteria not be met;</p> <p>(l) a summary of the annual reporting requirements; and,</p> <p>(m) identification of the responsible party(ies) for meeting the success criteria and providing for conservation of the mitigation site(s) in</p>

**TABLE 6.4-1
MITIGATION MEASURE ERRATA**

CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THE FOLLOWING MITIGATION MEASURES :

1. In response to comments - These changes have been made to both the mitigations for the Proposed Plan and the MRA
2. In response to the MRA – Staff initiated text changes have been made to some of the mitigation measures to address differences in the components of the Proposed Plan and the MRA. These changes apply only to the MRA
3. Staff initiated changes for purposes of clarification.

PROPOSED PLAN MITIGATION MEASURES	MODIFIED REDESIGN ALTERNATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES
perpetuity.	perpetuity.
<p>MM BIO-1.7 Planting of the revegetation sites should shall occur between October 1 and April 30, when feasible, to take advantage of the winter/spring rainy season.</p>	<p>MM BIO-1.7 Planting of the revegetation sites should shall occur between October 1 and April 30, when feasible, to take advantage of the winter/spring rainy season.</p>
<p>MM BIO-1.8 All plantings shall have 90% survival the first year and 80% survival thereafter. The mitigation sites shall attain 75% cover of the native targeted species by year three and 90% cover of native targeted species by year five. Prior to the mitigation sites being determined successful, they shall be entirely without supplemental irrigation for a minimum of two consecutive years. Non-native species shall comprise 10% cover or less by year five. <u>Interim annual and final performance criteria for each potential mitigation site and vegetation community are provided below. Vegetation cover is expressed as percent absolute cover for native and non-native vegetation. For native cover, the percentages listed shall be the minimum attained to be considered successful, and for non-native cover, the percentages listed shall not be exceeded.</u></p>	<p>MM BIO-1.8 All plantings shall have 90% survival the first year and 80% survival thereafter. The mitigation sites shall attain 75% cover of the native targeted species by year three and 90% cover of native targeted species by year five. Prior to the mitigation sites being determined successful, they shall be entirely without supplemental irrigation for a minimum of two consecutive years. Non-native species shall comprise 10% cover or less by year five. <u>Interim annual and final performance criteria for each potential mitigation site and vegetation community are provided below. Vegetation cover is expressed as percent absolute cover for native and non-native vegetation. For native cover, the percentages listed shall be the minimum attained to be considered successful, and for non-native cover, the percentages listed shall not be exceeded.</u></p>

**TABLE 6.4-1
MITIGATION MEASURE ERRATA**

CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THE FOLLOWING MITIGATION MEASURES :

1. In response to comments - These changes have been made to both the mitigations for the Proposed Plan and the MRA
2. In response to the MRA – Staff initiated text changes have been made to some of the mitigation measures to address differences in the components of the Proposed Plan and the MRA. These changes apply only to the MRA
3. Staff initiated changes for purposes of clarification.

PROPOSED PLAN MITIGATION MEASURES						MODIFIED REDESIGN ALTERNATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES					
Vegetation Community	Year 1 (%)	Year 2 (%)	Year 3 (%)	Year 4 (%)	Year 5 (%)	Vegetation Community	Year 1 (%)	Year 2 (%)	Year 3 (%)	Year 4 (%)	Year 5 (%)
Malibu Bluffs						Malibu Bluffs					
<u>Coastal scrub</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>Coastal scrub</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>75</u>
<u>Perennial exotic cover</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Perennial exotic cover</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>
Corral Canyon						Corral Canyon					
<u>Coastal scrub</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>Coastal scrub</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>65</u>
<u>Perennial exotic cover</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Perennial exotic cover</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>10</u>
<u>Native Grasslands</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>Native Grasslands</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>55</u>
Tuna/Las Flores						Tuna/Las Flores					
<u>Chapparral/Coastal scrub</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>Chapparral/Coastal scrub</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>65</u>
<u>Perennial exotic cover</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Perennial exotic cover</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>
King Gillette Ranch						King Gillette Ranch					
<u>Southern willow scrub</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>Southern willow scrub</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>80</u>
<u>Sycamore-Coast live oak woodland</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>Sycamore-Coast live oak woodland</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>75</u>
<u>Perennial exotic cover</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Perennial exotic cover</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>
Ramirez Canyon						Ramirez Canyon					
<u>Southern willow scrub</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>Southern willow scrub</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>80</u>

**TABLE 6.4-1
MITIGATION MEASURE ERRATA**

CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THE FOLLOWING MITIGATION MEASURES :

1. In response to comments - These changes have been made to both the mitigations for the Proposed Plan and the MRA
2. In response to the MRA – Staff initiated text changes have been made to some of the mitigation measures to address differences in the components of the Proposed Plan and the MRA. These changes apply only to the MRA
3. Staff initiated changes for purposes of clarification.

PROPOSED PLAN MITIGATION MEASURES	MODIFIED REDESIGN ALTERNATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES
<p>MM BIO-I.12 All new public restroom facilities shall consist of self-contained chemical or composting restrooms (except for new restrooms proposed at Ramirez Canyon Park), which shall be sited and designed to ensure that impacts to ESHA and water quality are avoided. Where feasible, self-contained restroom facilities shall be located a minimum of 200 feet from the top of bank of any adjacent stream, and in no case shall they be located less than 100 feet from the top of bank of any adjacent stream or the outer edge of riparian vegetation (except at Ramirez Canyon Park, at a limited (no more than 10 spaces) Latigo trailhead parking and picnic area for Escondido Canyon Park, where restroom facilities shall be located no less than 25 feet from top of stream bank), which ever is the most protective. Minimal grading to create minor berms around the facilities shall be allowed, provided it is not in violation of other LCP or LUP resource protection policies, to ensure run-off is contained in the vicinity and/or is conveyed and filtered through bioswales. Self-contained restroom facilities shall be maintained pursuant to manufacturer specifications at all times.</p>	<p>MM BIO-I.12 All new public restroom facilities shall consist of self-contained chemical restrooms or composting restrooms (except for new restrooms proposed at Ramirez Canyon Park), which shall be sited and designed to ensure that impacts to ESHA and water quality are avoided. Where feasible, self-contained restroom facilities shall be located a minimum of 200 feet from the top of bank of any adjacent stream, and in no case shall they be located less than 100 feet from the top of bank of any adjacent stream or the outer edge of riparian vegetation (except at Ramirez Canyon Park, at a limited (no more than 10 spaces) Latigo trailhead parking and picnic area for Escondido Canyon Park, where restroom facilities shall be located no less than 25 feet from top of stream bank), which ever is the most protective. Minimal grading to create minor berms around the facilities shall be allowed, provided it is not in violation of other LCP or LUP resource protection policies, to ensure run-off is contained in the vicinity and/or is conveyed and filtered through bioswales. Self-contained restroom facilities shall be maintained pursuant to manufacturer specifications at all times.</p>
<p>MM BIO-I.19 No person shall make or maintain, nor aid and abet others in making or maintaining, a campfire or any other open fire in any of the park facilities covered by this report. Development, use restrictions, and brush maintenance for all campsites shall be strictly enforced.</p>	<p>MM BIO-I.19 not required.</p>

**TABLE 6.4-1
MITIGATION MEASURE ERRATA**

CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THE FOLLOWING MITIGATION MEASURES :

1. In response to comments - These changes have been made to both the mitigations for the Proposed Plan and the MRA
2. In response to the MRA – Staff initiated text changes have been made to some of the mitigation measures to address differences in the components of the Proposed Plan and the MRA. These changes apply only to the MRA
3. Staff initiated changes for purposes of clarification.

PROPOSED PLAN MITIGATION MEASURES	MODIFIED REDESIGN ALTERNATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES
<p>MM BIO-2.1 Prior to the issuance of a grading permit(s) for areas <u>within and adjacent</u> to ESHA, a biologist shall be retained and approved by the SMMC/MRCA and CDFG to monitor construction activities. The biologist will monitor all grading and other significant ground disturbing activities in or adjacent to open space areas to ensure that the project complies with the applicable standard conditions and mitigation measures.</p>	<p>MM BIO-2.1 Prior to the issuance of a grading permit(s) for areas <u>within and adjacent</u> to ESHA, a biologist shall be retained and approved by the SMMC/MRCA and CDFG to monitor construction activities. The biologist will monitor all grading and other significant ground disturbing activities in or adjacent to open space areas to ensure that the project complies with the applicable standard conditions and mitigation measures.</p>
<p>MM BIO-2.2 Prior to the commencement of grading operations or <u>other restoration</u> activities involving significant soil disturbance, the work area shall be demarcated with temporary fencing or other markers clearly visible to construction personnel.</p>	<p>MM BIO-2.2 Prior to the commencement of grading operations or <u>other restoration</u> activities involving significant soil disturbance, the work area shall be demarcated with temporary fencing or other markers clearly visible to construction personnel.</p>
<p>MM BIO-4.1 Pre-construction rare plant surveys, <u>using the survey methodologies outlined in Protocols for Surveying and Evaluating Impacts to Special Status Native Plant Populations and Natural Communities (CDFG, 2009)</u>, shall be conducted in all areas supporting suitable habitat for those special status species that have a moderate to high potential to occur in the study area as described in <u>Table 8 the Biological Technical Report</u>. The surveys shall be conducted during the appropriate time of year during the blooming periods for each species to the extent practicable.</p>	<p>MM BIO-4.1 Pre-construction rare plant surveys, <u>using the survey methodologies outlined in Protocols for Surveying and Evaluating Impacts to Special Status Native Plant Populations and Natural Communities (CDFG, 2009)</u>, shall be conducted in all areas supporting suitable habitat for those special status species that have a moderate to high potential to occur in the study area as described in <u>Table 8 the Biological Technical Report</u>. The surveys shall be conducted during the appropriate time of year during the blooming periods for each species to the extent practicable.</p>

**TABLE 6.4-1
MITIGATION MEASURE ERRATA**

CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THE FOLLOWING MITIGATION MEASURES :

1. In response to comments - These changes have been made to both the mitigations for the Proposed Plan and the MRA
2. In response to the MRA – Staff initiated text changes have been made to some of the mitigation measures to address differences in the components of the Proposed Plan and the MRA. These changes apply only to the MRA
3. Staff initiated changes for purposes of clarification.

PROPOSED PLAN MITIGATION MEASURES	MODIFIED REDESIGN ALTERNATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES
<p>MM BIO-4.2 See MM BIO-1.11 through MM BIO-1.19.</p>	<p>MM BIO-4.2 See MM BIO-1.11 through MM BIO-1.19.</p>
<p>MM BIO-10.2 The monitoring biologist shall be on site during any clearing of habitat (annual ground cover, shrubs, or trees). The monitoring biologist will flush sensitive species (avian or other mobile species) from occupied habitat areas immediately prior to brush-clearing and earth-moving activities.</p> <p>1. <u>San Diego Desert Woodrat: Prior to construction activities in grassland, scrub, chaparral, oak woodland, riverbank, and agriculture habitats, or other suitable habitat a qualified biologist shall conduct a survey within the proposed construction disturbance zone and within 200 feet of the disturbance zone for San Diego desert woodrat nests. If active San Diego desert woodrat (<i>Neotoma lepida intemedia</i>) nests are identified within the disturbance zone, under the supervision monitoring biologists, woodrat stick nests shall be nudged with a front end loader to encourage woodrats to abandon the nests and to escape into adjacent areas. The nest structure shall then be carefully and slowly picked up with a front-end loader to allow any additional woodrats to escape. The nest structure shall then be moved to</u></p>	<p>MM BIO-10.2 The monitoring biologist shall be on site during any clearing of habitat (annual ground cover, shrubs, or trees). The monitoring biologist will flush sensitive species (avian or other mobile species) from occupied habitat areas immediately prior to brush-clearing and earth-moving activities.</p> <p>1. <u>San Diego Desert Woodrat: Prior to construction activities in grassland, scrub, chaparral, oak woodland, riverbank, and agriculture habitats, or other suitable habitat a qualified biologist shall conduct a survey within the proposed construction disturbance zone and within 200 feet of the disturbance zone for San Diego desert woodrat nests. If active San Diego desert woodrat (<i>Neotoma lepida intemedia</i>) nests are identified within the disturbance zone, under the supervision monitoring biologists, woodrat stick nests shall be nudged with a front end loader to encourage woodrats to abandon the nests and to escape into adjacent areas. The nest structure shall then be carefully and slowly picked up with a front-end loader to allow any additional woodrats to escape. The nest structure shall then be moved to</u></p>

**TABLE 6.4-1
 MITIGATION MEASURE ERRATA**

CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THE FOLLOWING MITIGATION MEASURES :

1. In response to comments - These changes have been made to both the mitigations for the Proposed Plan and the MRA
2. In response to the MRA – Staff initiated text changes have been made to some of the mitigation measures to address differences in the components of the Proposed Plan and the MRA. These changes apply only to the MRA
3. Staff initiated changes for purposes of clarification.

PROPOSED PLAN MITIGATION MEASURES	MODIFIED REDESIGN ALTERNATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES
<p><u>adjacent undisturbed habitat. If suitable habitat is not available immediately adjacent to impact areas, new habitat on adjacent areas not impacted by the project shall be created by providing a vertical structure composes of laying downed or cut trees stacked horizontally in areas that are under a shady canopy, or piling rocks under a shady canopy, to achieve this structure. No trapping and/or hand removal of nesting materials shall occur.</u></p> <p>2. <u>Low Mobility Species: Pre-construction surveys and avoidance measures shall be implemented for low mobility species, such as coast horned lizard and silvery legless lizards. During brush-clearing and earth-moving activities occurring in or directly adjacent to occupied or suitable habitat for low mobility species, pre-construction surveys shall be conducted by the project biologist to determine if low-mobility special-status species are present. If visual searches or raking are used for pre-construction surveys, the project biologist shall conduct surveys no earlier than 72 hours prior to disturbance, and if pitfall trapping is used, the Project Biologist shall conduct trapping no earlier than 5 days prior to disturbance. If these species are located in the disturbance zone, then individuals shall be captured and relocated, or allowed to</u></p>	<p><u>adjacent undisturbed habitat. If suitable habitat is not available immediately adjacent to impact areas, new habitat on adjacent areas not impacted by the project shall be created by providing a vertical structure composes of laying downed or cut trees stacked horizontally in areas that are under a shady canopy, or piling rocks under a shady canopy, to achieve this structure. No trapping and/or hand removal of nesting materials shall occur.</u></p> <p>2. <u>Low Mobility Species: Pre-construction surveys and avoidance measures shall be implemented for low mobility species, such as coast horned lizard and silvery legless lizards. During brush-clearing and earth-moving activities occurring in or directly adjacent to occupied or suitable habitat for low mobility species, pre-construction surveys shall be conducted by the project biologist to determine if low-mobility special-status species are present. If visual searches or raking are used for pre-construction surveys, the project biologist shall conduct surveys no earlier than 72 hours prior to disturbance, and if pitfall trapping is used, the Project Biologist shall conduct trapping no earlier than 5 days prior to disturbance. If these species are located in the disturbance zone, then individuals shall be captured and relocated, or allowed to</u></p>

16.0 Response to Comments on Draft EIR

**TABLE 6.4-1
MITIGATION MEASURE ERRATA**

CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THE FOLLOWING MITIGATION MEASURES :

1. In response to comments - These changes have been made to both the mitigations for the Proposed Plan and the MRA
2. In response to the MRA – Staff initiated text changes have been made to some of the mitigation measures to address differences in the components of the Proposed Plan and the MRA. These changes apply only to the MRA
3. Staff initiated changes for purposes of clarification.

PROPOSED PLAN MITIGATION MEASURES	MODIFIED REDESIGN ALTERNATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES
<u>escape, to suitable habitat for the species outside of the disturbance footprint.</u>	<u>escape, to suitable habitat for the species outside of the disturbance footprint.</u>
MM BIO-10.3 Avoid and/or minimize the use of lighting within the study area. In proposed parking facilities, lighting fixtures should shall comply with local standards for shielded low sodium, low wattage lighting designed to cut glare and light scatter and to direct light away from sensitive biological resources.	MM BIO-10.3 Avoid and/or minimize the use of lighting within the study area. In proposed parking facilities, lighting fixtures should shall comply with local standards for shielded low sodium, low wattage lighting designed to cut glare and light scatter and to direct light away from sensitive biological resources.
MM BIO-10.12 Regulatory signs shall be provided at park entrance areas, staging areas or gathering points and may include, but need not be limited to, the following information: 1) permitted use of the area or facility being posted, 2) general regulations at trailheads, 3) general regulations at jurisdiction boundaries, 4) regulations required to promote safe use of an area (including limitations on fires) and resource protection, and 5) identification of private property boundaries, and 6) warning and guidelines about the New Zealand mudsnail.	MM BIO-10.12 Regulatory signs shall be provided at park entrance areas, staging areas or gathering points and may include, but need not be limited to, the following information: 1) permitted use of the area or facility being posted, 2) general regulations at trailheads, 3) general regulations at jurisdiction boundaries, 4) regulations required to promote safe use of an area (including limitations on fires) and resource protection, and 5) identification of private property boundaries, and 6) warning and guidelines about the New Zealand mudsnail.
CULTURAL RESOURCES	
MM CR-1.3 A Construction Monitoring Treatment Plan shall be developed and implemented to ensure that any new discoveries associated with CA-LAN-310	MM CR-1.3 A Construction Monitoring Treatment Plan shall be developed and implemented to ensure that any new discoveries associated with CA-LAN-310

**TABLE 6.4-I
MITIGATION MEASURE ERRATA**

CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THE FOLLOWING MITIGATION MEASURES :

1. In response to comments - These changes have been made to both the mitigations for the Proposed Plan and the MRA
2. In response to the MRA – Staff initiated text changes have been made to some of the mitigation measures to address differences in the components of the Proposed Plan and the MRA. These changes apply only to the MRA
3. Staff initiated changes for purposes of clarification.

PROPOSED PLAN MITIGATION MEASURES	MODIFIED REDESIGN ALTERNATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES
<p>(in the area of the proposed “ADA drop-off” along PCH in the Corral Canyon Park area) and CA-LAN-479 (in the area of the proposed camping facility in the far western portion of the Malibu Bluffs) are adequately recorded, evaluated, and if significant, mitigated. The Construction Monitoring Treatment Plan shall minimally describe the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Qualifications and organization of monitoring personnel; b. Procedures for notifying the City of Malibu and/or County of Los Angeles and other involved or interested parties in case of a new discovery; c. Procedures that would be used to record, evaluate, and mitigate new discoveries with a minimum of delay; d. Procedures that would be followed in case of discovery of disturbed as well as intact human remains; e. Specifications that all ground disturbances associated with the proposed “ADA drop-off” along PCH in the Corral Canyon Park area and the proposed camping facility in the far western portion of the Malibu Bluffs shall be monitored by a City- or County-qualified archaeologist and a local Native American representative, funded by the applicant. The monitors shall have the authority to temporarily halt and/or redirect construction in the vicinity of any potentially 	<p>(in the area of the proposed “ADA drop-off” along PCH in the Corral Canyon Park area) and CA-LAN-479 (in the area of the proposed camping facility in the far western portion of the Malibu Bluffs) are adequately recorded, evaluated, and if significant, mitigated. The Construction Monitoring Treatment Plan shall minimally describe the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Qualifications and organization of monitoring personnel; b. Procedures for notifying the City of Malibu and/or County of Los Angeles and other involved or interested parties in case of a new discovery; c. Procedures that would be used to record, evaluate, and mitigate new discoveries with a minimum of delay; d. Procedures that would be followed in case of discovery of disturbed as well as intact human remains; e. Specifications that all ground disturbances associated with the proposed “ADA drop-off” along PCH in the Corral Canyon Park area and the proposed camping facility in the far western portion of the Malibu Bluffs shall be monitored by a City- or County-qualified archaeologist and a local Native American representative, funded by the applicant. The monitors shall have the authority to temporarily halt and/or redirect construction in the vicinity of any potentially

**TABLE 6.4-1
MITIGATION MEASURE ERRATA**

CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THE FOLLOWING MITIGATION MEASURES :

1. In response to comments - These changes have been made to both the mitigations for the Proposed Plan and the MRA
2. In response to the MRA – Staff initiated text changes have been made to some of the mitigation measures to address differences in the components of the Proposed Plan and the MRA. These changes apply only to the MRA
3. Staff initiated changes for purposes of clarification.

PROPOSED PLAN MITIGATION MEASURES	MODIFIED REDESIGN ALTERNATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES
<p>significant discovery to allow for adequate recordation, evaluation, and mitigation. Evaluation and mitigation could require archaeological testing and data recovery. In the unlikely event that human remains would be encountered, consultation with the most likely Native American descendant pursuant to Public Resources Code section 5097.97 and 5097.98 would apply.</p>	<p>significant discovery to allow for adequate recordation, evaluation, and mitigation. Evaluation and mitigation could require archaeological testing and data recovery. In the unlikely event that human remains would be encountered, consultation with the most likely Native American descendant pursuant to Public Resources Code section 5097.97 and 5097.98 would apply.</p>
<p>MM CR-7.3 A Construction Monitoring Treatment Plan shall be developed and implemented to ensure that any new discoveries associated with CA-LAN-310 (in the proposed Corral Canyon Mitigation Site) are adequately recorded, evaluated, and if significant, mitigated. The Construction Monitoring Treatment Plan shall minimally describe the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Qualifications and organization of monitoring personnel; b. Procedures for notifying the City of Malibu and/or County of Los Angeles and other involved or interested parties in case of a new discovery; c. Procedures that would be used to record, evaluate, and mitigate new discoveries with a minimum of delay; d. Procedures that would be followed in case of discovery of disturbed 	<p>MM CR-7.3 A Construction Monitoring Treatment Plan shall be developed and implemented to ensure that any new discoveries associated with CA-LAN-310 (in the proposed Corral Canyon Mitigation Site) are adequately recorded, evaluated, and if significant, mitigated. The Construction Monitoring Treatment Plan shall minimally describe the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Qualifications and organization of monitoring personnel; b. Procedures for notifying the City of Malibu and/or County of Los Angeles and other involved or interested parties in case of a new discovery; c. Procedures that would be used to record, evaluate, and mitigate new discoveries with a minimum of delay; d. Procedures that would be followed in case of discovery of

**TABLE 6.4-1
 MITIGATION MEASURE ERRATA**

CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THE FOLLOWING MITIGATION MEASURES :

1. In response to comments - These changes have been made to both the mitigations for the Proposed Plan and the MRA
2. In response to the MRA – Staff initiated text changes have been made to some of the mitigation measures to address differences in the components of the Proposed Plan and the MRA. These changes apply only to the MRA
3. Staff initiated changes for purposes of clarification.

PROPOSED PLAN MITIGATION MEASURES	MODIFIED REDESIGN ALTERNATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES
<p>as well as intact human remains;</p> <p>e. Specifications that all ground disturbances associated with Biological Resources mitigations in the mapped CA-LAN-310 boundary, and a 100-foot buffer around the boundary, in the proposed Corral Canyon Mitigation Site shall be monitored by a City- or County-qualified archaeologist and a local Native American representative, funded by the applicant. The monitors shall have the authority to temporarily halt and/or redirect construction in the vicinity of any potentially significant discovery to allow for adequate recordation, evaluation, and mitigation. Evaluation and mitigation could require archaeological testing and data recovery. In the unlikely event that human remains would be encountered, consultation with the most likely Native American descendant pursuant to Public Resources Code section 5097.97 and 5097.98 would apply.</p>	<p>disturbed as well as intact human remains;</p> <p>e. Specifications that all ground disturbances associated with Biological Resources mitigations in the mapped CA-LAN-310 boundary, and a 100-foot buffer around the boundary, in the proposed Corral Canyon Mitigation Site shall be monitored by a City- or County-qualified archaeologist and a local Native American representative, funded by the applicant. The monitors shall have the authority to temporarily halt and/or redirect construction in the vicinity of any potentially significant discovery to allow for adequate recordation, evaluation, and mitigation. Evaluation and mitigation could require archaeological testing and data recovery. In the unlikely event that human remains would be encountered, consultation with the most likely Native American descendant pursuant to Public Resources Code section 5097.97 and 5097.98 would apply.</p>
<p>MM CR-8.2 A Construction Monitoring Treatment Plan shall be developed and implemented to ensure that any new discoveries associated with CA-LAN-1915 (in the proposed Tuna/Las Flores Canyon Mitigation Site) are adequately recorded, evaluated, and if significant, mitigated. The Construction</p>	<p>MM CR-8.2 A Construction Monitoring Treatment Plan shall be developed and implemented to ensure that any new discoveries associated with CA-LAN-1915 (in the proposed Tuna/Las Flores Canyon Mitigation Site) are adequately recorded, evaluated, and if significant, mitigated. The Construction Monitoring</p>

**TABLE 6.4-1
MITIGATION MEASURE ERRATA**

CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THE FOLLOWING MITIGATION MEASURES :

1. In response to comments - These changes have been made to both the mitigations for the Proposed Plan and the MRA
2. In response to the MRA – Staff initiated text changes have been made to some of the mitigation measures to address differences in the components of the Proposed Plan and the MRA. These changes apply only to the MRA
3. Staff initiated changes for purposes of clarification.

PROPOSED PLAN MITIGATION MEASURES	MODIFIED REDESIGN ALTERNATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES
<p>Monitoring Treatment Plan shall minimally describe the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Qualifications and organization of monitoring personnel; b. Procedures for notifying the City of Malibu and/or County of Los Angeles and other involved or interested parties in case of a new discovery; c. Procedures that would be used to record, evaluate, and mitigate new discoveries with a minimum of delay; d. Procedures that would be followed in case of discovery of disturbed as well as intact human remains; e. Specifications that all ground disturbances associated with Biological Resources mitigations in the mapped CA-LAN-1915 boundary, and a 100-foot buffer around the boundary, in the proposed Tuna/Las Flores Canyon Mitigation Site shall be monitored by a City- or County-qualified archaeologist and a local Native American representative, funded by the applicant. The monitors shall have the authority to temporarily halt and/or redirect construction in the vicinity of any potentially significant discovery to allow for adequate recordation, evaluation, and mitigation. Evaluation and mitigation could require archaeological testing and data recovery. In the unlikely event that 	<p>Treatment Plan shall minimally describe the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Qualifications and organization of monitoring personnel; b. Procedures for notifying the City of Malibu and/or County of Los Angeles and other involved or interested parties in case of a new discovery; c. Procedures that would be used to record, evaluate, and mitigate new discoveries with a minimum of delay; d. Procedures that would be followed in case of discovery of disturbed as well as intact human remains; e. Specifications that all ground disturbances associated with Biological Resources mitigations in the mapped CA-LAN-1915 boundary, and a 100-foot buffer around the boundary, in the proposed Tuna/Las Flores Canyon Mitigation Site shall be monitored by a City- or County-qualified archaeologist and a local Native American representative, funded by the applicant. The monitors shall have the authority to temporarily halt and/or redirect construction in the vicinity of any potentially significant discovery to allow for adequate recordation, evaluation, and mitigation. Evaluation and mitigation could require archaeological testing and data recovery. In the unlikely

**TABLE 6.4-1
MITIGATION MEASURE ERRATA**

CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THE FOLLOWING MITIGATION MEASURES :

1. In response to comments - These changes have been made to both the mitigations for the Proposed Plan and the MRA
2. In response to the MRA – Staff initiated text changes have been made to some of the mitigation measures to address differences in the components of the Proposed Plan and the MRA. These changes apply only to the MRA
3. Staff initiated changes for purposes of clarification.

PROPOSED PLAN MITIGATION MEASURES	MODIFIED REDESIGN ALTERNATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES
human remains would be encountered, consultation with the most likely Native American descendant pursuant to Public Resources Code section 5097.97 and 5097.98 would apply.	event that human remains would be encountered, consultation with the most likely Native American descendant pursuant to Public Resources Code section 5097.97 and 5097.98 would apply.
GEOLOGY, SOILS, AND SEISMIC HAZARDS	
MM G-1.1 Site-specific geotechnical investigations, including borings and laboratory analysis of soil characteristics, shall be conducted for the following Ramirez Canyon proposed improvements: 10-space on-site parking area, day-use areas, and new restrooms. The geotechnical investigation shall identify site preparation techniques and/or engineering design specifications to address liquefaction potential of the encountered earth materials. All requirements identified in the geotechnical investigation shall be incorporated into design and construction.	MM G-1.1 Site-specific geotechnical investigations, including borings and laboratory analysis of soil characteristics, shall be conducted for the following Ramirez Canyon proposed improvements: 10-space on-site reconfigured parking area, off-site Kanan Dume Road parking areas , day-use areas, and new restrooms. The geotechnical investigation shall identify site preparation techniques and/or engineering design specifications to address liquefaction potential of the encountered earth materials. All requirements identified in the geotechnical investigation shall be incorporated into design and construction.
MM G-1.2 A certified engineering geologist (CEG) shall calculate ground acceleration values within Ramirez Canyon Park for the maximum credible earthquake produced by the regional fault system, for use in designing improvements located within Ramirez Canyon Park. A structural engineer shall then certify the proposed design is capable of withstanding the identified ground acceleration. A Civil or Structural engineer shall design the proposed	MM G-1.2 A certified engineering geologist (CEG) shall calculate ground acceleration values within Ramirez Canyon Park for the maximum credible earthquake produced by the regional fault system, for use in designing improvements located within Ramirez Canyon Park. A structural engineer shall then certify the proposed design is capable of withstanding the identified ground acceleration. A Civil or Structural engineer shall design the proposed

**TABLE 6.4-1
MITIGATION MEASURE ERRATA**

CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THE FOLLOWING MITIGATION MEASURES :	
<p>1. In response to comments - These changes have been made to both the mitigations for the Proposed Plan and the MRA</p> <p>2. In response to the MRA – Staff initiated text changes have been made to some of the mitigation measures to address differences in the components of the Proposed Plan and the MRA. These changes apply only to the MRA</p> <p>3. Staff initiated changes for purposes of clarification.</p>	
PROPOSED PLAN MITIGATION MEASURES	MODIFIED REDESIGN ALTERNATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES
<p><u>improvements upon the requirements of the California Building Code (CBC) and thereby address the identified ground acceleration in the code prescribed manner</u>, for the following structures: a) the three new restroom facilities; b) the emergency fire shelter vehicular bridges; c) existing structures proposed for new or expanded public use in Ramirez Canyon Park, under the Plan.</p>	<p><u>improvements upon the requirements of the California Building Code (CBC) and thereby address the identified ground acceleration in the code prescribed manner</u>, for the following structures: a) the three new restroom facilities; b) the emergency fire shelter vehicular bridges; c) existing structures proposed for new or expanded public use in Ramirez Canyon Park, under the Plan.</p>
<p>MM G-1.3 Site-specific geotechnical investigations, including borings and laboratory analysis of soil characteristics, shall be conducted for the following Escondido Canyon Park proposed improvements: parking lot (including access drive from Winding Way), Camp Host space, restrooms (2), 10,000 gallon water storage tank, emergency fire shelter, and immediately adjacent three camp sites. The geotechnical investigation shall identify site preparation techniques and/or engineering design specifications to address soil creep / shallow landslide potential of the encountered earth materials. All requirements identified in the geotechnical investigation shall be incorporated into design and construction.</p>	<p><u>MM G-1.3 not required.</u></p>
<p>MM G-1.4 A certified engineering geologist (CEG) shall calculate ground acceleration values within Escondido Canyon Park for the maximum credible earthquake produced by the regional fault system, for use in designing</p>	<p><u>MM G-1.4 not required.</u></p>

**TABLE 6.4-I
MITIGATION MEASURE ERRATA**

CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THE FOLLOWING MITIGATION MEASURES :

1. In response to comments - These changes have been made to both the mitigations for the Proposed Plan and the MRA
2. In response to the MRA – Staff initiated text changes have been made to some of the mitigation measures to address differences in the components of the Proposed Plan and the MRA. These changes apply only to the MRA
3. Staff initiated changes for purposes of clarification.

PROPOSED PLAN MITIGATION MEASURES	MODIFIED REDESIGN ALTERNATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES
<p>improvements located within Escondido Canyon Park. A structural engineer shall then certify the proposed design is capable of withstanding the identified ground acceleration, for the following structures: a) the three new self-contained restroom facilities; b) the emergency fire shelter; c) the 10,000 gallon water storage tank.</p>	
<p>MM G-1.5 For Camp Area 3 of Escondido Canyon, the northern-most campsite and restroom facility, located over the fault trace for the Malibu Coast Fault, shall be removed from the Plan.</p>	<p><u>MM G-1.5 not required.</u></p>
<p>MM G-1.6 A certified engineering geologist (CEG) shall calculate ground acceleration values within Latigo Canyon Trailhead / Campsite property for the maximum credible earthquake produced by the regional fault system, for use in designing any structural improvements located within Latigo Canyon Trailhead / Campsite property. A structural engineer shall then certify the proposed design is capable of withstanding the identified ground acceleration; <u>A Civil or Structural engineer shall design the proposed improvements upon the requirements of the CBC and thereby address the identified ground acceleration in the code prescribed manner,</u> for any of the following proposed structures: a) self-contained restroom facilities; b) emergency fire</p>	<p>MM G-1.6 A certified engineering geologist (CEG) shall calculate ground acceleration values within Latigo Canyon Trailhead / Campsite property for the maximum credible earthquake produced by the regional fault system, for use in designing any structural improvements located within Latigo Canyon Trailhead / Campsite property. A structural engineer shall then certify the proposed design is capable of withstanding the identified ground acceleration; <u>A Civil or Structural engineer shall design the proposed improvements upon the requirements of the CBC and thereby address the identified ground acceleration in the code prescribed manner,</u> for the following structures: a) self-contained restroom facilities facility; b) emergency fire shelter; c) water</p>

**TABLE 6.4-1
MITIGATION MEASURE ERRATA**

CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THE FOLLOWING MITIGATION MEASURES :

1. In response to comments - These changes have been made to both the mitigations for the Proposed Plan and the MRA
2. In response to the MRA – Staff initiated text changes have been made to some of the mitigation measures to address differences in the components of the Proposed Plan and the MRA. These changes apply only to the MRA
3. Staff initiated changes for purposes of clarification.

PROPOSED PLAN MITIGATION MEASURES	MODIFIED REDESIGN ALTERNATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES
shelter; c) water storage tank.	storage tank.
<p>MM G-1.7 A certified engineering geologist (CEG) shall calculate ground acceleration values within Corral Canyon Park for the maximum credible earthquake produced by the regional fault system, for use in designing improvements located within Corral Canyon Park. A structural engineer shall then certify the proposed design is capable of withstanding the identified ground acceleration; <u>A Civil or Structural engineer shall design the proposed improvements upon the requirements of the CBC and thereby address the identified ground acceleration in the code prescribed manner,</u> or the following structures: a) self-contained restroom facilities; b) emergency fire shelters; c) the 10,000 gallon water storage tank; d) fire truck shed.</p>	<p>MM G-1.7 A certified engineering geologist (CEG) shall calculate ground acceleration values within Corral Canyon Park for the maximum credible earthquake produced by the regional fault system, for use in designing improvements located within Corral Canyon Park. A structural engineer shall then certify the proposed design is capable of withstanding the identified ground acceleration; <u>A Civil or Structural engineer shall design the proposed improvements upon the requirements of the CBC and thereby address the identified ground acceleration in the code prescribed manner,</u> for the following structures: a) self-contained restroom facilities <u>employee residence;</u> b) emergency fire shelters <u>self-contained restroom facilities;</u> c) the 10,000 gallon water storage tank; d) fire truck shed.</p>
<p>MM G-1.8 A certified engineering geologist (CEG) shall calculate ground acceleration values within Malibu Bluffs Park for the maximum credible earthquake produced by the regional fault system, for use in designing improvements located within Malibu Bluffs Park. A structural engineer shall then certify the proposed design is capable of withstanding the identified ground acceleration; <u>A Civil or Structural engineer shall design the proposed</u></p>	<p>MM G-1.8 A certified engineering geologist (CEG) shall calculate ground acceleration values within Malibu Bluffs Park for the maximum credible earthquake produced by the regional fault system, for use in designing improvements located within Malibu Bluffs Park. A structural engineer shall then certify the proposed design is capable of withstanding the identified ground acceleration; <u>A Civil or Structural engineer shall design the proposed</u></p>

**TABLE 6.4-1
MITIGATION MEASURE ERRATA**

CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THE FOLLOWING MITIGATION MEASURES :

1. In response to comments - These changes have been made to both the mitigations for the Proposed Plan and the MRA
2. In response to the MRA – Staff initiated text changes have been made to some of the mitigation measures to address differences in the components of the Proposed Plan and the MRA. These changes apply only to the MRA
3. Staff initiated changes for purposes of clarification.

PROPOSED PLAN MITIGATION MEASURES	MODIFIED REDESIGN ALTERNATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES
<p><u>improvements upon the requirements of the CBC and thereby address the identified ground acceleration in the code prescribed manner</u>, for the following structures: a) self-contained restroom facilities; b) emergency fire shelters; c) the 10,000 gallon water storage tank; d) fire truck shed.</p>	<p><u>improvements upon the requirements of the CBC and thereby address the identified ground acceleration in the code prescribed manner</u>, for the following structures: a) self-contained restroom facilities employee residence; b) emergency fire shelters self-contained restroom facilities; c) the 10,000 gallon water storage tank; d) fire truck shed; e) vehicular bridges.</p>
<p>MM G-2 - deleted – duplicative of MM G-1.9</p>	<p>MM G-2 The final design and construction of trail segments located within areas with soils susceptible to erosion shall adhere to the Best Practices identified in Malibu Parks Public Access Enhancement Plan, Park and Trail Accessibility Design Guidelines prepared by Moore Jacofano Goltsman, Inc., 2006 (pp 25-35), including but not limited to those for: Trails on Steep Cross Slopes; Eroding and Hazardous Trail Edges; and Drainage Control and Trails See MM G-1.9.</p>
<p>MM G-3.1 Site-specific soil investigations, including borings and laboratory analysis of soil characteristics, shall be conducted for the following Ramirez Canyon proposed improvements: off-site 26-space paved parking facility immediately adjacent to Kanan Dume Road, Ramirez Canyon Road bridge replacement, 10-space on-site parking area, three ADA day-use areas, three restrooms, and two separate emergency fire shelters. The soil investigation shall identify site preparation techniques and/or engineering design specifications to</p>	<p>MM G-3.1 Site-specific soil investigations, including borings and laboratory analysis of soil characteristics, shall be conducted for the following Ramirez Canyon proposed improvements: off-site 26-space paved parking facility immediately adjacent to 14 parking spaces in 3 paved parking areas along Kanan Dume Road, Ramirez Canyon Road bridge replacement, 10-space on-site reconfigured parking area, three four ADA day-use areas, three and four restrooms, and two separate emergency fire shelters. The soil investigation shall</p>

**TABLE 6.4-1
MITIGATION MEASURE ERRATA**

CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THE FOLLOWING MITIGATION MEASURES :

1. In response to comments - These changes have been made to both the mitigations for the Proposed Plan and the MRA
2. In response to the MRA – Staff initiated text changes have been made to some of the mitigation measures to address differences in the components of the Proposed Plan and the MRA. These changes apply only to the MRA
3. Staff initiated changes for purposes of clarification.

PROPOSED PLAN MITIGATION MEASURES	MODIFIED REDESIGN ALTERNATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES
address compression, collapse, or lateral spreading potential of the encountered soil materials.	identify site preparation techniques and/or engineering design specifications to address compression, collapse, or lateral spreading potential of the encountered soil materials.
MM G-3.2 Site-specific soil investigation, including borings and laboratory analysis of soil characteristics, shall be conducted for the northern-most restroom facility proposed for Escondido Canyon Park. The soil investigation shall identify site preparation techniques and/or engineering design specifications to address compression, collapse, or lateral spreading potential of the encountered soil materials.	MM G-3.2 not required.
MM G-3.3 For any structural improvements (i.e., water storage tank, emergency fire shelter, restroom) proposed to be located north of the access road at Latigo Canyon Trailhead/Campground, site-specific soil investigation, including borings and laboratory analysis of soil characteristics, shall be conducted. The soil investigation shall identify site preparation techniques and/or engineering design specifications to address compression, collapse, or lateral spreading potential of the encountered soil materials.	MM G-3.3 For any structural improvements (i.e., water storage tank, emergency fire shelter, restroom) proposed to be located north of the access road at Latigo Canyon Trailhead/Campground, site-specific soil investigation, including borings and laboratory analysis of soil characteristics, shall be conducted. The soil investigation shall identify site preparation techniques and/or engineering design specifications to address compression, collapse, or lateral spreading potential of the encountered soil materials.

**TABLE 6.4-1
MITIGATION MEASURE ERRATA**

CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THE FOLLOWING MITIGATION MEASURES :

1. In response to comments - These changes have been made to both the mitigations for the Proposed Plan and the MRA
2. In response to the MRA – Staff initiated text changes have been made to some of the mitigation measures to address differences in the components of the Proposed Plan and the MRA. These changes apply only to the MRA
3. Staff initiated changes for purposes of clarification.

PROPOSED PLAN MITIGATION MEASURES	MODIFIED REDESIGN ALTERNATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES
<p>MM G-3.4 Site-specific soil investigations, including borings and laboratory analysis of soil characteristics, shall be conducted for the following Corral Canyon proposed improvements: the two restroom facilities near proposed camping areas (excluding the restroom in the parking lot), two separate emergency fire shelters, and the 10,000 gallon water storage tank. The soil investigation shall identify site preparation techniques and/or engineering design specifications to address compression, collapse, or lateral spreading potential of the encountered soil materials.</p>	<p>MM G-3.4 Site-specific soil investigations, including borings and laboratory analysis of soil characteristics, shall be conducted for the following Corral Canyon proposed improvements: the two restroom facilities near proposed camping areas (excluding the restroom in the parking lot), two separate emergency fire shelters, and the 10,000 gallon water storage tank <u>two-stall restroom facility at Camp Area I and the 10,000 gallon water storage tank</u>. The soil investigation shall identify site preparation techniques and/or engineering design specifications to address compression, collapse, or lateral spreading potential of the encountered soil materials.</p>
<p>MM G-3.5 Site-specific soil investigations, including borings and laboratory analysis of soil characteristics, shall be conducted for the following Malibu Bluffs Park proposed improvements: 7 self-contained restrooms, three emergency fire shelters, a fire truck shed, and two (2) 10,000 gallon water storage tanks. The soil investigation shall identify site preparation techniques and/or engineering design specifications to address compression, collapse, or lateral spreading potential of the encountered soil materials.</p>	<p>MM G-3.5 Site-specific soil investigations, including borings and laboratory analysis of soil characteristics, shall be conducted for the following Malibu Bluffs Park proposed improvements: 7 self-contained restrooms, three emergency fire shelters, a fire truck shed, and two (2) 10,000 gallon water storage tanks <u>two (2) Park Administration/ Employee Quarters buildings, eleven (11) self-contained restroom stalls in eight (8) restroom buildings, a fire truck shed, and two (2) 10,000 gallon water storage tanks</u>. The soil investigation shall identify site preparation techniques and/or engineering design specifications to address compression, collapse, or lateral spreading potential of the</p>

**TABLE 6.4-1
MITIGATION MEASURE ERRATA**

CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THE FOLLOWING MITIGATION MEASURES :

1. In response to comments - These changes have been made to both the mitigations for the Proposed Plan and the MRA
2. In response to the MRA – Staff initiated text changes have been made to some of the mitigation measures to address differences in the components of the Proposed Plan and the MRA. These changes apply only to the MRA
3. Staff initiated changes for purposes of clarification.

PROPOSED PLAN MITIGATION MEASURES	MODIFIED REDESIGN ALTERNATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES
	encountered soil materials.
MM G-3.6 deleted – duplicative of MM G-1.9	MM G-3.6 The final design and construction of trail segments located within areas of mapped loose soil materials (i.e., recent alluvium, artificial fill, steep slopes) shall adhere to the Best Practices identified in <i>Malibu Parks Public Access Enhancement Plan, Park and Trail Accessibility Design Guidelines</i> prepared by Moore Iacofano Goltsman, Inc., 2006 (pp 25-35), including but not limited to those for: Trails on Steep Cross Slopes and Trails on Sandy Soils. <u>See MM G-1.9.</u>
MM G-4.2 Site-specific geotechnical investigations, including borings and laboratory analysis of soil characteristics, shall be conducted for the following Escondido Canyon Park proposed improvements: parking lot (including Camp Host space) and immediately proximate self-contained restrooms (2), emergency fire shelter, 10,000 gallon water storage tank, and small campsites (3, including individual retaining walls). The geotechnical investigation shall identify site preparation techniques and/or engineering design specifications to address encountered expansive soil materials.	MM G-4.2 not required.

**TABLE 6.4-1
MITIGATION MEASURE ERRATA**

CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THE FOLLOWING MITIGATION MEASURES :

1. In response to comments - These changes have been made to both the mitigations for the Proposed Plan and the MRA
2. In response to the MRA – Staff initiated text changes have been made to some of the mitigation measures to address differences in the components of the Proposed Plan and the MRA. These changes apply only to the MRA
3. Staff initiated changes for purposes of clarification.

PROPOSED PLAN MITIGATION MEASURES	MODIFIED REDESIGN ALTERNATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES
<p>MM G-4.3 deleted – duplicative of MM G-1.9</p>	<p>MM G-4.3 The final design and construction of trail segments located within areas of mapped expansive soil materials shall adhere to the Best Practices identified in Malibu Parks Public Access Enhancement Plan, Park and Trail Accessibility Design Guidelines prepared by Moore Iacofano Goltsman, Inc., 2006 (pp 25-35) including but not limited to those for: Trails on Steep Cross Slopes; Eroding and Hazardous Trail Edges; and Trails Damaged by Maintenance Vehicle Use See MM G-1.9.</p>

HYDROLOGY, DRAINAGE AND WATER QUALITY

<p>MM HYD-1.1 Before onset of any construction activities, MRCA or its agent shall obtain coverage under the NPDES General Construction Permit. MRCA shall be responsible for ensuring that construction activities comply with the conditions in this permit, including development of a SWPPP, implementation of BMPs identified in the SWPPP, and monitoring to ensure that effects on water quality are minimized. As part of this process, the City MRCA or its agent shall implement multiple erosion and sediment control BMPs in areas with potential to drain to surface water. Guidelines established in the County’s SUSMP or equivalent guidelines shall be followed in selecting, implementing, and monitoring BMPs for construction activities. The following BMPs shall be implemented during</p>	<p>MM HYD-1.1 Before onset of any construction activities, MRCA or its agent shall obtain coverage under the NPDES General Construction Permit. MRCA shall be responsible for ensuring that construction activities comply with the conditions in this permit, including development of a SWPPP, implementation of BMPs identified in the SWPPP, and monitoring to ensure that effects on water quality are minimized. As part of this process, the City MRCA or its agent shall implement multiple erosion and sediment control BMPs in areas with potential to drain to surface water. Guidelines established in the County’s SUSMP or equivalent guidelines shall be followed in selecting, implementing, and monitoring BMPs for construction activities. The following BMPs shall be implemented during</p>
---	---

**TABLE 6.4-1
MITIGATION MEASURE ERRATA**

CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THE FOLLOWING MITIGATION MEASURES :

1. In response to comments - These changes have been made to both the mitigations for the Proposed Plan and the MRA
2. In response to the MRA – Staff initiated text changes have been made to some of the mitigation measures to address differences in the components of the Proposed Plan and the MRA. These changes apply only to the MRA
3. Staff initiated changes for purposes of clarification.

PROPOSED PLAN MITIGATION MEASURES	MODIFIED REDESIGN ALTERNATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES
<p>the construction period:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All storm drains, drainage patterns, and creeks located near the construction site prior to construction shall be identified on grading, construction, and restoration plans to ensure that all subcontractors are aware of their location and prevent such as equipment petroleum product pollutants from entering them; 2. Washing of concrete trucks, paint, equipment, or similar activities shall occur only in areas where polluted water and materials can be contained for subsequent removal from the site. Wash water shall not be discharged to the storm drains, street, drainage ditches, creeks, or wetlands. 3. Areas designated for washing functions shall be at least 100 feet from any storm drain, water body, or sensitive biological resources. The location(s) of the washout area(s) shall be clearly noted at the construction site with signs; the applicant shall designate a washout area, acceptable to Building and Safety and P&D staff. The washout areas shall be shown on the construction and/or grading and building plans and shall be in place and maintained throughout construction; 4. All chemical storage leaks, spills, and drips shall be immediately 	<p>the construction period:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All storm drains, drainage patterns, and creeks located near the construction site prior to construction shall be identified on grading, construction, and restoration plans to ensure that all subcontractors are aware of their location and prevent such as equipment petroleum product pollutants from entering them; 2. Washing of concrete trucks, paint, equipment, or similar activities shall occur only in areas where polluted water and materials can be contained for subsequent removal from the site. Wash water shall not be discharged to the storm drains, street, drainage ditches, creeks, or wetlands. 3. Areas designated for washing functions shall be at least 100 feet from any storm drain, water body, or sensitive biological resources. The location(s) of the washout area(s) shall be clearly noted at the construction site with signs; the applicant shall designate a washout area, acceptable to Building and Safety and P&D staff. The washout areas shall be shown on the construction and/or grading and building plans and shall be in place and maintained throughout construction;

**TABLE 6.4-1
MITIGATION MEASURE ERRATA**

CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THE FOLLOWING MITIGATION MEASURES :

1. In response to comments - These changes have been made to both the mitigations for the Proposed Plan and the MRA
2. In response to the MRA – Staff initiated text changes have been made to some of the mitigation measures to address differences in the components of the Proposed Plan and the MRA. These changes apply only to the MRA
3. Staff initiated changes for purposes of clarification.

PROPOSED PLAN MITIGATION MEASURES	MODIFIED REDESIGN ALTERNATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES
<p>cleaned up and disposed of properly;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. Vehicles and heavy equipment that are leaking fuel, oil, hydraulic fluid or other pollutants shall be immediately contained and either repaired immediately or removed from the site; 6. One or more emergency spill containment kits shall be placed onsite in easily visible locations, and personnel would be trained in proper use and disposal methods; 7. Vehicles and heavy equipment shall be refueled and serviced in one designated site located at least 500 feet from creeks and drainage swales; 8. Temporary storage of construction equipment shall be limited to a 50- by 50-foot area, preferably located along an existing dirt access road, and shall be located at least 100 feet from any water bodies; 9. Dry cleanup methods shall be used whenever possible; 10. Clean site runoff shall not be contaminated with polluted water through the use of berms or ditches to divert surface runoff around the construction site; 11. Exposed stockpiles of soil and other erosive materials shall be covered during the rainy season; 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. All chemical storage leaks, spills, and drips shall be immediately cleaned up and disposed of properly; 5. Vehicles and heavy equipment that are leaking fuel, oil, hydraulic fluid or other pollutants shall be immediately contained and either repaired immediately or removed from the site; 6. One or more emergency spill containment kits shall be placed onsite in easily visible locations, and personnel would be trained in proper use and disposal methods; 7. Vehicles and heavy equipment shall be refueled and serviced in one designated site located at least 500 feet from creeks and drainage swales; 8. Temporary storage of construction equipment shall be limited to a 50- by 50-foot area, preferably located along an existing dirt access road, and shall be located at least 100 feet from any water bodies; 9. Dry cleanup methods shall be used whenever possible; 10. Clean site runoff shall not be contaminated with polluted water through the use of berms or ditches to divert surface runoff around the construction site; 11. Exposed stockpiles of soil and other erosive materials shall be

**TABLE 6.4-1
MITIGATION MEASURE ERRATA**

CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THE FOLLOWING MITIGATION MEASURES :

1. In response to comments - These changes have been made to both the mitigations for the Proposed Plan and the MRA
2. In response to the MRA – Staff initiated text changes have been made to some of the mitigation measures to address differences in the components of the Proposed Plan and the MRA. These changes apply only to the MRA
3. Staff initiated changes for purposes of clarification.

PROPOSED PLAN MITIGATION MEASURES	MODIFIED REDESIGN ALTERNATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES
<p>12. Trash cans shall be placed liberally around the site and properly maintained;</p> <p>13. All subcontractors and laborers shall be educated about proper site maintenance and stormwater pollution control measures through periodic “tailgate” meetings;</p> <p>14. Roadwork or pavement construction, concrete, asphalt, and seal coat shall be applied during dry weather only; and</p> <p>15. Storm drains and manholes within the construction area shall be covered during paving or applying seal coat, slurry, fog seal, etc.</p>	<p>covered during the rainy season;</p> <p>12. Trash cans shall be placed liberally around the site and properly maintained;</p> <p>13. All subcontractors and laborers shall be educated about proper site maintenance and stormwater pollution control measures through periodic “tailgate” meetings;</p> <p>14. Roadwork or pavement construction, concrete, asphalt, and seal coat shall be applied during dry weather only; and</p> <p>15. Storm drains and manholes within the construction area shall be covered during paving or applying seal coat, slurry, fog seal, etc.</p>
<p>MM HYD-4.1 Trails shall be maintained outside of the 2-year clear water inundation limits.</p>	<p>MM HYD-4.1 Trails shall be maintained outside of the 2-year clear water inundation limits. See MM HYD-3.2.</p>
<p>MM HYD-4.2 When 6 inches of rain is predicted during a 24 hour period, campsites, trails and creek crossings shall be closed to any visitation or use of any kind. Any occupied sites shall be vacated. No member of the public shall enter the campsites or shall utilize the creek crossing or trails until all warnings associated with a forecasted storm event have been lifted. No member of the public shall be permitted to enter the campsites or use the creek crossings or</p>	<p>MM HYD-4.2 When 6 inches of rain is predicted during a 24 hour period, campsites, trails and creek crossings shall be closed to any visitation or use of any kind. Any occupied sites shall be vacated. No member of the public shall enter the campsites or shall utilize the creek crossing or trails until all warnings associated with a forecasted storm event have been lifted. No member of the public shall be permitted to enter the campsites or use the creek crossings or</p>

**TABLE 6.4-1
 MITIGATION MEASURE ERRATA**

CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THE FOLLOWING MITIGATION MEASURES :

1. In response to comments - These changes have been made to both the mitigations for the Proposed Plan and the MRA
2. In response to the MRA – Staff initiated text changes have been made to some of the mitigation measures to address differences in the components of the Proposed Plan and the MRA. These changes apply only to the MRA
3. Staff initiated changes for purposes of clarification.

PROPOSED PLAN MITIGATION MEASURES	MODIFIED REDESIGN ALTERNATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES
trails until all necessary restoration work has been carried out to the satisfaction of the jurisdiction in which the park is located.	trails until all necessary restoration work has been carried out to the satisfaction of the jurisdiction in which the park is located. See MM HYD-3.1.
<p>MM HYD-8 Plan day use, camping areas, and trails shall be required to implement a pet waste program, which would entail installing pet waste dispensers and bags as well as posting signage in both Spanish and English. MRCA shall be required to refill the dispensers on a routine basis and be required to document the number of bags found abandoned. Signage shall include verbiage addressing the importance of proper disposal of pet waste as well as stating the jurisdictional authority’s ordinance section and fines associated with failure to comply with the ordinance. Offenders caught not using the bags shall be fined. If horsewaste is deposited less than 50 feet from the bottom of the low flow channel where a trail crosses a drainage, during patrols and maintenance activities, <u>at a frequency of not less than once per week during camping season (approximately April 1 through November 1)</u>, MRCA staff will move the waste to a distance greater than 50 feet to allow for natural decomposition away from the drainage course.</p>	<p>MM HYD-8 Plan day use, camping areas, and trails shall be required to implement a pet waste program, which would entail installing pet waste dispensers and bags as well as posting signage in both Spanish and English. MRCA shall be required to refill the dispensers on a routine basis and be required to document the number of bags found abandoned. Signage shall include verbiage addressing the importance of proper disposal of pet waste as well as stating the jurisdictional authority’s ordinance section and fines associated with failure to comply with the ordinance. Offenders caught not using the bags shall be fined. If horsewaste is deposited less than 50 feet from the bottom of the low flow channel where a trail crosses a drainage, during patrols and maintenance activities <u>at a frequency of not less than once per week during camping season (approximately April 1 through November 1)</u>, MRCA staff will move the waste to a distance greater than 50 feet to allow for natural decomposition away from the drainage course.</p>

**TABLE 6.4-1
MITIGATION MEASURE ERRATA**

CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THE FOLLOWING MITIGATION MEASURES :

1. In response to comments - These changes have been made to both the mitigations for the Proposed Plan and the MRA
2. In response to the MRA – Staff initiated text changes have been made to some of the mitigation measures to address differences in the components of the Proposed Plan and the MRA. These changes apply only to the MRA
3. Staff initiated changes for purposes of clarification.

PROPOSED PLAN MITIGATION MEASURES	MODIFIED REDESIGN ALTERNATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES
NOISE	
<p>MM N-1.3 Sound Blankets. When feasible, <u>Where construction employing heavy equipment would occur within 400 feet of a neighboring residential property line,</u> construction contractors shall use sound blankets on noise-generating equipment <u>or erect a temporary sound barrier between the construction zone and neighboring residential property.</u></p>	<p>MM N-1.3 Sound Blankets. When feasible, <u>Where construction employing heavy equipment would occur within 400 feet of a neighboring residential property line,</u> construction contractors shall use sound blankets on noise-generating equipment <u>or erect a temporary sound barrier between the construction zone and neighboring residential property.</u></p>
<p>MM N-3.5 The Camp Host and/or Park Ranger shall enforce <u>all applicable ordinances and regulations designed to restrict the generation of nuisance/ objectionable noise.</u> provisions of the MRCA Ordinance No. 1-2005 (Appendix P) intended to restrict the generation of nuisance/ objectionable noise, including (but not limited to) the following Ordinance Sections:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">§ 3.2. — Smoking and fires. No person shall smoke any substance nor light or maintain any fire of any kind; provided, however, that the Executive Officer or the Executive Officer's designee may issue campfire permits and other special use permits for activities that might otherwise contravene this section if he finds that adequate precautions will be</p>	<p>MM N-3.5 The Camp Host and/or Park Ranger shall enforce <u>all applicable ordinances and regulations designed to restrict the generation of nuisance/ objectionable noise.</u> provisions of the MRCA Ordinance No. 1-2005 (Appendix P) intended to restrict the generation of nuisance/ objectionable noise, including (but not limited to) the following Ordinance Sections:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">§ 3.2. — Smoking and fires. No person shall smoke any substance nor light or maintain any fire of any kind; provided, however, that the Executive Officer or the Executive Officer's designee may issue campfire permits and other special use permits for activities that might otherwise contravene this section if he finds that adequate precautions will be</p>

**TABLE 6.4-1
 MITIGATION MEASURE ERRATA**

CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THE FOLLOWING MITIGATION MEASURES :

1. In response to comments - These changes have been made to both the mitigations for the Proposed Plan and the MRA
2. In response to the MRA – Staff initiated text changes have been made to some of the mitigation measures to address differences in the components of the Proposed Plan and the MRA. These changes apply only to the MRA
3. Staff initiated changes for purposes of clarification.

PROPOSED PLAN MITIGATION MEASURES	MODIFIED REDESIGN ALTERNATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES
<p>taken by the permittee.</p> <p>§ 3.3. — Alcohol. No person shall possess any alcoholic beverage, except pursuant to a permit issued by the Executive Officer or the Executive Officer's designee.</p> <p>§ 3.8. — Fireworks. No person shall use or possess any fireworks except by permit signed by the Executive Officer or the Executive Officer's designee.</p> <p>§ 3.11. — Camping. No person shall camp on any parkland except by permit issued by the Executive Officer or the Executive Officer's designee. Camping areas shall be expressly designated and posted for that purpose. Any person or group camping in such a designated area shall have the original signed permit in their possession and shall display such permit at the request of any employee of the Authority or of the Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy, or any peace officer.</p> <p>§ 3.15. — Disruptive conduct. No person shall willfully disturb another</p>	<p>taken by the permittee.</p> <p>§ 3.3. — Alcohol. No person shall possess any alcoholic beverage, except pursuant to a permit issued by the Executive Officer or the Executive Officer's designee.</p> <p>§ 3.8. — Fireworks. No person shall use or possess any fireworks except by permit signed by the Executive Officer or the Executive Officer's designee.</p> <p>§ 3.11. — Camping. No person shall camp on any parkland except by permit issued by the Executive Officer or the Executive Officer's designee. Camping areas shall be expressly designated and posted for that purpose. Any person or group camping in such a designated area shall have the original signed permit in their possession and shall display such permit at the request of any employee of the Authority or of the Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy, or any peace officer.</p> <p>§ 3.15. — Disruptive conduct. No person shall willfully disturb another</p>

**TABLE 6.4-1
MITIGATION MEASURE ERRATA**

CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THE FOLLOWING MITIGATION MEASURES :

1. In response to comments - These changes have been made to both the mitigations for the Proposed Plan and the MRA
2. In response to the MRA – Staff initiated text changes have been made to some of the mitigation measures to address differences in the components of the Proposed Plan and the MRA. These changes apply only to the MRA
3. Staff initiated changes for purposes of clarification.

PROPOSED PLAN MITIGATION MEASURES	MODIFIED REDESIGN ALTERNATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES
<p>person by loud and unreasonable noise, or any other activity which maliciously and willfully disturbs the peace of another person.</p> <p>§ 3.18. Violations in specific jurisdictions. Any person who violates any law, ordinance, rule, regulation or resolution punishable as a misdemeanor or infraction, which has been duly adopted and noticed by any jurisdiction with authority over any public open space, park, parkland, forest, recreation area, scenic parkway, scenic highway, trail or roadway in which the Authority has enforcement authority, is guilty of a misdemeanor or an infraction, but shall not be punishable to any degree greater than allowable under the underlying provision. A list of such violations need not be posted. The citation will state this section number, the section of the underlying provision, and a short description of the violation. As an alternative to prosecution, violation of this section is punishable by administrative penalty pursuant to this Ordinance.</p>	<p>person by loud and unreasonable noise, or any other activity which maliciously and willfully disturbs the peace of another person.</p> <p>§ 3.18. Violations in specific jurisdictions. Any person who violates any law, ordinance, rule, regulation or resolution punishable as a misdemeanor or infraction, which has been duly adopted and noticed by any jurisdiction with authority over any public open space, park, parkland, forest, recreation area, scenic parkway, scenic highway, trail or roadway in which the Authority has enforcement authority, is guilty of a misdemeanor or an infraction, but shall not be punishable to any degree greater than allowable under the underlying provision. A list of such violations need not be posted. The citation will state this section number, the section of the underlying provision, and a short description of the violation. As an alternative to prosecution, violation of this section is punishable by administrative penalty pursuant to this Ordinance.</p>
TRANSPORTATION AND PARKING	
<p>MM TP-3 Corral Canyon Park Parking Management Plan. As reviewed above, parking for the Malibu Fish & Seafood Inc. restaurant overflows into the</p>	<p>MM TP-3 Corral Canyon Park Parking Management Plan. As reviewed above, parking for the Malibu Fish & Seafood Inc. restaurant overflows into the</p>

**TABLE 6.4-1
MITIGATION MEASURE ERRATA**

CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THE FOLLOWING MITIGATION MEASURES :

1. In response to comments - These changes have been made to both the mitigations for the Proposed Plan and the MRA
2. In response to the MRA – Staff initiated text changes have been made to some of the mitigation measures to address differences in the components of the Proposed Plan and the MRA. These changes apply only to the MRA
3. Staff initiated changes for purposes of clarification.

PROPOSED PLAN MITIGATION MEASURES	MODIFIED REDESIGN ALTERNATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES
<p>Corral Canyon Park parking lot during busy periods. Field observations found that that between 10 and 12 cars parked in the lot during peak hours to use the restaurant. By eliminating restaurant parkers, future parking demands with the proposed campsites would range from 16-18 spaces during the non-summer periods and 19-21 spaces during the summer periods, which would be accommodated within the proposed parking supply or 21 spaces.</p> <p>In order to satisfy the parking demands at the Corral Canyon Park, a Parking Management Plan shall be developed by the SMMC/MRCA to manage the parking supply for the Corral Canyon Park site. Parking lot enforcement (signs, ordinance enforcement and/or parking attendants) would reduce restaurant parking in the park parking lot.</p>	<p>Corral Canyon Park parking lot during busy periods. Field observations found that that between 10 and 12 cars parked in the lot during peak hours to use the restaurant. By eliminating restaurant parkers, future parking demands with the proposed campsites would range from 16-18 spaces during the non-summer periods and 19-21 spaces during the summer periods, which would be accommodated within the proposed parking supply or 21 spaces.</p> <p>In order to satisfy the parking demands at the Corral Canyon Park, a Parking Management Plan shall be developed by the SMMC/MRCA to manage the parking supply for the Corral Canyon Park site. Parking lot enforcement (signs, ordinance enforcement and/or parking attendants) would reduce restaurant parking in the park parking lot. Not required.</p>
UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS	
<p>MM US-3 To address <u>Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board (LARWQCB Waste) Discharge Requirements</u>, MRCA staff shall prepare and submit the required waste discharge requirement form(s) to LARWQCB for review and approval.</p>	<p>MM US-3 To address <u>Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board (LARWQCB) Waste Discharge Requirements</u>, MRCA staff shall prepare and submit the required waste discharge requirement form(s) to LARWQCB for review and approval.</p>

**TABLE 6.4-1
MITIGATION MEASURE ERRATA**

CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THE FOLLOWING MITIGATION MEASURES :

1. In response to comments - These changes have been made to both the mitigations for the Proposed Plan and the MRA
2. In response to the MRA – Staff initiated text changes have been made to some of the mitigation measures to address differences in the components of the Proposed Plan and the MRA. These changes apply only to the MRA
3. Staff initiated changes for purposes of clarification.

PROPOSED PLAN MITIGATION MEASURES	MODIFIED REDESIGN ALTERNATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES
<p>MM US-6.2 To address operational solid waste impacts, MRCA should develop and implement a Trash & Recycling Program at each park area. The trash/recycling program should shall identify the location and type of each non-recyclable and recyclable container, the frequency and method of trash/recycling pick-up at each park, and include signage to encourage park visitors to dispose of their trash properly.</p>	<p>MM US-6.2 To address operational solid waste impacts, MRCA should shall develop and implement a Trash & Recycling Program at each park area. The trash/recycling program should shall identify the location and type of each non-recyclable and recyclable container, the frequency and method of trash/recycling pick-up at each park, and include signage to encourage park visitors to dispose of their trash properly.</p>
<p>MM US-6.3 MRCA should shall implement a greenwaste recycling program at each park. The Greenwaste Recycling Program should shall require that greenwaste be recycled onsite, whenever feasible. Park staff should shall cut and mince greenwaste and leave in place as part of routine park and trail maintenance.</p>	<p>MM US-6.3 MRCA should shall implement a greenwaste recycling program at each park. The Greenwaste Recycling Program should shall require that greenwaste be recycled onsite, whenever feasible. Park staff should shall cut and mince greenwaste and leave in place as part of routine park and trail maintenance.</p>